

# An annotated catalogue of the types of Chrysididae (Hymenoptera) at the Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, with brief historical notes

Paolo Rosa<sup>1</sup>, Hege Vårdal<sup>2</sup>

**1** Via Belvedere 8/d I-20881 Bernareggio (MB), Italy **2** Swedish Museum of Natural History, Department of Entomology, Box 50007, SE-104 05 Stockholm, Sweden

Corresponding author: Paolo Rosa ([rosa@chrysis.net](mailto:rosa@chrysis.net))

---

Academic editor: M. Engel | Received 7 February 2015 | Accepted 13 March 2015 | Published 8 April 2015

---

<http://zoobank.org/525BA445-97F0-4C31-A944-03B3535CBF8A>

---

**Citation:** Rosa P, Vårdal H (2015) An annotated catalogue of the types of Chrysididae (Hymenoptera) at the Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, with brief historical notes. ZooKeys 495: 79–132. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.495.9356

---

## Abstract

A critical and annotated catalogue of 72 types of Chrysididae (Hymenoptera) belonging to 53 species and subspecies housed in the Swedish Museum of Natural History is given. The lectotypes of *Chrysis diversa* Dahlbom, 1845, *C. soror* Dahlbom, 1854, *Chrysura sulcata* Dahlbom, 1845 and *Holopyga amoenula* Dahlbom, 1845 are designated. The previous lectotype of *Chrysis diversa* Dahlbom, 1845 is set aside. Five new synonymies are proposed: *Chrysis elegans* var. *smaragdula* Trautmann, 1926 (currently *C. elegans* ssp. *interrogata* Linsenmaier, 1959 repl. name for *smaragdula* Trautmann, nec Fabricius, 1775), **syn. n.** of *C. confluens* (Dahlbom, 1845); *C. eximia* Mocsáry, 1889, **syn. n.** of *C. poecila* Mocsáry, 1889; *C. pyrrhina* Dahlbom, 1845, **syn. n.** of *C. erythromelas* Dahlbom, 1845; *C. separata* Trautmann, 1926, **syn. n.** of *C. lateralis* Dahlbom, 1845; *C. sricula* Abeille de Perrin, 1877, **syn. n.** of *C. erythromelas* Dahlbom, 1845. *Chrysis serena* Radoszkowski, 1891 is the first available name for *C. pyrrhina sensu auctorum*. *C. erythromelas* Dahlbom, 1845 is reevaluated as valid species. The neotype of *Chrysis inaequalis* Dahlbom, 1845 is designated in the Linsenmaier collection (NMLS). Illustrations of 34 types are given.

## Keywords

Chrysididae, catalogue, neotype designation, lectotype designation

## Introduction

The Chrysididae collection in the Swedish Museum of Natural History (NHRS) is an important historical collection in Europe that includes several types described by Dahlbom and other authors. It is divided in three parts: the general collection, the Swedish collection and the type collection. A few specimens (294 specimens) of Chrysididae can be found in separate historical collections (Boheman's collection).

The general collection consists of 15 drawers that were reorganized by the first author in 2012 in taxonomical and alphabetical order *sensu* Kimsey and Bohart (1991) and it includes about 1700 specimens. The Swedish collection consists of 19 drawers and 1762 specimens belonging to about 50 taxa. All the type specimens were labelled with red type labels and transferred to the type collection, which currently includes 72 types belonging to 53 species and subspecies: 30 holotypes, 20 paratypes, 7 syntypes, 6 lectotypes and 9 paralectotypes. Unfortunately, the original identification labels by Dahlbom are lost, probably removed after a subsequent reorganization of the collection in the nineteenth century. For this reason we encountered some difficulties in identifying some original types (e.g. *Platycelia ehrenbergi* and *Stilbum wesmaeli*).

Dahlbom (1845) did not list all the examined specimens, but he used different Latin words related to the frequency at which he encountered the examined species: *vulgatissima* (very common), *vulgar* (common), *freq.* (= *frequentes*, frequent), *pl. min. freq.* (*plus or minus frequentes*, more or less frequent), *pass.* (= *passim*, literally 'here and there'), *rar.* (= *rarus*, used when he examined few specimens) and *rariss.* (= *rarissima*, when he examined only one specimen). These characterisations were taken into consideration when studying the type material. At that time, the Code of Zoological Nomenclature was not yet published, and Dahlbom (1845, 1854) did not follow the "Principle of Priority". In some cases, he changed the priority of species previously described. These changes led to confusion among the following authors, as shown in the remarks (e.g. *Chrysis mediocris*, *Hedychridium cupreum*, *Holopyga amoenula*). In other cases he changed the original description, after the examination of further material (e.g. *Chrysis sulcata*).

The present paper is mainly focused on the type material described by Dahlbom, but also includes other Chrysidid types described by authors after Dahlbom and housed at the Swedish Museum of Natural History (NHRS). Cameron (1910) and Hammer (1950) described some species and dedicated two new species to Yngve Sjöstedt, the professor and curator of the entomology department of the NHRS: *Chrysis sjostedti* Cameron and *Cleptes sjostedti* Hammer. Some paratypes were donated by Linsenmaier (1959a), who was in contact and exchanged several specimens with Stellan Erlandsson and the Gaunitz family. In the 1960s the museum loaned some exotic specimens to the Swiss entomologist Walter Linsenmaier, who described a new species (*Chrysis tenuimediata* Linsenmaier, 1968). A great part of that loan remained unidentified and was sent back to the Museum after Linsenmaier's death. The Finnish entomologist Erikki Valkeila (1971) deposited the holotype of *Chrysis corusca* and the paratype of *C. scintillans* here. Valkeila was very active and identified many specimens in the NHRS

Chrysididae collection. In the 1980s Bohart borrowed some African types, and kindly deposited some paratypes of Nearctic species. It is unclear how two types by Balthasar (1957) arrived in the collection.

Anders Gustaf Dahlbom was born in Herrberga parish in Östergötland County on March 3, 1806. From his father, the surgeon Anders Dahlbom, he inherited a strong interest in insects (Svenskt Biografiskt Lexikon 2013). He matriculated at Lund University in 1825, studied natural history, medicine and pharmacology and completed his master's degree (Dahlbom 1829), with a thesis on Chrysididae (*Monographia Chrysidum Sveciae*). He became a docent of natural history in 1830 in Lund and from 1843 lecturer in entomology as well as curator of the entomological collections at the Museum of Zoology at Lund University. In 1857, two years before he died, Dahlbom was appointed professor (Dal 1996). Dahlbom was a pioneer in applied entomology and wrote a handbook for farmers and naturalists about common benefits and potential problems with the Scandinavian insects that can be found in and around a house or farm (Dahlbom 1838). However, most of his works are on systematic entomology and are characterized by careful descriptions and sharp-eyed observations (Svenskt Biografiskt Lexikon 2013). He took part in several entomological research journeys with his teacher Johan Wilhelm Zetterstedt in northern Sweden and abroad.

Dahlbom had the opportunity to visit some of the museums that were the most important in Europe at that time: Berlin (MNHU), Copenhagen (ZMUC), London (BMNH), Paris (MNHN), and his types are currently found in Berlin (MNHU), Copenhagen (ZMUC), Lund (MZLU), Stockholm (NHRS), Turin (MRSN) and Vienna (MHNW). He published his observations and studies on Chrysididae in four publications: *Exercitationes Hymenopterologicae*, *Monographia Chrysididum Sveciae* (Dahlbom 1831), *Dispositio Methodica Specierum Hymenopterorum. Particula II – Chrysis in sensu Linneano* (Dahlbom 1845), *Syd-Africanska Chrysidides* (Dahlbom 1850), *Hymenoptera europaea praecipue borealia* (Dahlbom 1854). The latter is considered a landmark in the study of Chrysididae. For the first time he provided keys to genera and species and an attempt to organize all the known information on Chrysidids at that time. In total he described 213 new species (Dahlbom 1854) of which more than 150 are still valid (Kimsey and Bohart 1991), and his descriptions were used as models for that time. Dahlbom examined Fabricius' types deposited at Kiel (ZMUC) and in Vienna (MHNW), Klug's types in Berlin (MNHU) and Spinola's types from his private collection (MRSN, Rosa and Xu 2015). Dahlbom passed away on May 3, 1859, in Lund. Most of his large collection, his library, a rich archive of correspondence with international and national researchers, and a catalogue of the collections and their history were donated to the entomological collections in Lund (MZLU) (Svenskt Biografiskt Lexikon 2013).

## Material and methods

Terminology and classification of the genera follows Kimsey and Bohart (1991). Classification of species follows Fauna Europaea (Rosa and Soen 2012), Linsenmaier (1959,

1968, 1987, 1997a, 1997b, 1999), Rosa (2006), Van der Smissen (2010) and Móczár (1998a, b), for the genus *Cleptes*. These works have been taken in consideration also for the reorganization of the general collection. The 4<sup>th</sup> edition of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), in effect since 1<sup>st</sup> January 2000, has been applied to the present work.

The type list is arranged alphabetically and the following data are given: name of the species and of the author, the complete reference of the description, type locality, current systematic placement, category of the type, number and sex of specimens, complete label, in which handwritten text is given in italics; labels are separated from each other by square brackets; a stroke marks the end of a line. The state of preservation is given only in case of damaged types.

Only selected types were illustrated, such as the newly designated neotype and lectotypes. Pictures of the types were taken with Nikon D-80 connected to the stereomicroscope Tegal SCZ and stacked with the software Combine ZP (by Paolo Rosa); the white calibration of the photocamera was applied to reduce the blue effect of the neon light of the Tegal microscope. Two pictures were taken with Canon EOS 7D combined with the software Zerene Stacker (“HV” photos = by Hege Vårdal).

All the chrysidid types housed at the NHRS were labelled with NHRS-HEVA catalogue numbers and databased in the DINA-system used by several Swedish natural history collections. This data is presented on Naturarv which is the Search Portal for Natural History Collections in Sweden ([www.naturarv.se](http://www.naturarv.se)). GBIF harvest data from this system on a regular basis. High resolution photographs of the types presented in this paper will be uploaded on the database of biological images Morphbank ([www.morphbank.net](http://www.morphbank.net)).

Other specimens examined or discussed are deposited in the following institutions:

<b>BME</b>	Bohart Museum of Entomology, University of California, Davis, USA.
<b>BMNH</b>	The Natural History Museum, London, United Kingdom.
<b>HNHM</b>	Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest, Hungary.
<b>ISEA–PAS</b>	Invertebrate collections of the Institute of Systematics and Evolution of Animals, Polish Academy of Sciences in Krakow, Poland.
<b>MNHN</b>	National Museum of Natural History, Paris, France.
<b>MNHU</b>	Museum of Natural History of the Humboldt-Universität, Berlin, Germany.
<b>MRSN</b>	Regional Museum of Natural Science, Turin, Italy.
<b>MZH</b>	Finnish Museum of Natural History, University of Helsinki; Helsinki, Finland.
<b>MZLU</b>	Lund Zoological Museum, University of Lund, Sweden.
<b>NHMW</b>	Natural History Museum, Vienna, Austria.
<b>NHRS</b>	Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, Sweden.
<b>NMLS</b>	Natur-Museum, Luzern, Switzerland.
<b>NMPC</b>	National Museum of Natural History, Prague, Czech Republic.
<b>ZMUC</b>	Zoological Museum, University of Copenhagen, Denmark.
<b>ZMUK</b>	Zoological Museum, University of Kiel, Germany.

## Catalogue of the types in NHRS

### *Argochrysis albicornis* Bohart, 1982

*Argochrysis albicornis*: Bohart (in Bohart & Kimsey) 1982: 189.

**Type locality.** U.S.A. (holotype from Borrego Valley, San Diego Co., California; paratypes: 44 ♂♂ and 58 ♀♀ from California and Nevada).

**Paratype 1** ♂. [1,000 Palms Cyn., Cal. Riverside Co. IV-9-1964] [R.M. Bohart collector] [Paratype *Argochrysis albicornis* ♂ R.M. Bohart] <red label> [NHRS-HE-VA000001057].

**Paratype 1** ♀. [Calif 2 mi E Lone Pine Inyo Co. V-19-1970] [E.E. Grissell Colr] [Paratype *Argochrysis albicornis* ♀ R.M. Bohart] <red label> [NHRS-HE-VA000001058].

**Remarks.** The holotype is deposited at the BME.

**Current status.** *Argochrysis albicornis* Bohart, 1982.

### *Argochrysis armilla* Bohart, 1982

*Argochrysis armilla*: Bohart (in Bohart & Kimsey) 1982: 189.

**Type locality.** U.S.A. (holotype from Sagehen Creek, Nevada Co., California; paratypes 42 ♂♂ and 41 ♀♀ from the same locality).

**Paratype 1** ♂. [Sahegen Crk Cal. Nevada Co. VI 25 1966] [ R.L. Brumley Coll.] [Paratype *Argochrysis armilla* ♂ R.M. Bohart] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001063].

**Paratype 1** ♀. [Sahegen Crk Nevada Co. Cal. VII 13 68] [ RM Bohart Colr.] [Paratype *Argochrysis armilla* ♀ R.M. Bohart] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001064].

**Remarks.** The holotype is deposited at the BME.

**Current status.** *Argochrysis armilla* Bohart, 1982.

### *Argochrysis litura* Bohart, 1982

*Argochrysis litura*: Bohart (in Bohart & Kimsey) 1982: 193.

**Type locality.** U.S.A. (holotype from Tanbark Flat, Los Angeles Co., California; paratypes 34 ♂♂ and 99 ♀♀ from Arizona, California and Idaho).

**Paratype 1** ♀. [Arroyo Seco Camp Calif. Monterey Co. V-15-1973] [C. Goodpasture Colr] [Paratype *Argochrysis* ♀ *litura* R.M. Bohart] <red label> [NHRS-HE-VA000001096].

**Remarks.** The holotype is deposited at the BME.

**Current status.** *Argochrysis litura* Bohart, 1982.



***Ceratochrysis concava* Bohart, 1982**

*Ceratochrysis concava*: Bohart (in Bohart & Kimsey) 1982: 172.

**Type locality.** U.S.A. (holotype from Whitewater, Riverside Co., California; paratypes 20 ♂♂ and 32 ♀♀ from Arizona, California, Nevada).

**Paratype 1** ♂. [Mt. Diablo Cal. V-12-39] [G.E. Bohart Collector] [Paratype *Ceratochrysis concava* ♂ R. Bohart] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001068].

**Paratype 1** ♀. [Mt. Diablo, Cal. V-16-40] [J.W. MacSwain Collector] [Paratype *Ceratochrysis concava* ♀ R. Bohart] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001069].

**Remarks.** The holotype is deposited at the BME.

**Current status.** *Ceratochrysis concava* Bohart, 1982.

***Ceratochrysis minata* Bohart, 1982**

*Ceratochrysis minata*: Bohart (in Bohart & Kimsey) 1982: 177.

**Type locality.** U.S.A. (holotype from Davis, California; paratypes 34 ♂♂ and 30 ♀♀ from Alberta, California, Colorado, Idaho, Nevada, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oregon, Texas and Wyoming).

**Paratype** ♂. [Tracy, Calif. San Joaquin Co. V-26 1949] [J.W. MacSwain Collector] [Paratype *Ceratochrysis minata* ♂ R. Bohart] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001109].

**Paratype** ♀. [Tracy, Calif. San Joaquin Co. VI-3 1949] [J.W. MacSwain Collector] [Paratype *Ceratochrysis minata* ♀ R. Bohart] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001110].

**Remarks.** The holotype is deposited at the BME.

**Current status.** *Ceratochrysis minata* Bohart, 1982.

***Chrysis bohemanni* Dahlbom, 1845**


Plate 1

*Chrysis Bohemanni*: Dahlbom 1845: 12.

**Type locality.** South Africa: “*Port Natal*”.

**Holotype** ♀ (not ♂): [Caffraria] [J. Wahlb.] [Type] [*Bohemani* (sic) *Dahlb.*] [275 82] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001065].

**Remarks.** The type is a female, with the tip of the ovipositor visible. The species is dedicated to Carl Henrik Boheman (1796–1868) a Swedish entomologist. Therefore the correct name should be *bohemani* and not *bohemanni*. However, according to the Code (ICZN 1999: Article 32.5.1) in the original publication there is no clear evidence of an inadvertent error; moreover (ICZN 1999: Article 32.5.1.1), at the end of the same pub-



**Plate I.** *Chrysis bohemanni* Dahlbom, 1845, holotype. **A** Metasoma, dorsal view **B** head, frontal view.

lication (Dahlbom 1845), a *corrigendum* is given including the correction of the name *Scönhherri* in *Schönhherri*, but not the correction of the name *bohemanni*. Furthermore, in the following volume (Dahlbom 1854), Carl Henrik Boheman is cited in the introduction and in the text, but Dahlbom went on using the name *Chrysis bohemanni*, fixing the wrong spelling, which is in current use (Bohart 1988; Madl and Rosa 2012; Strumia 2009).

**Current status.** *Trichrysis bohemanni* (Dahlbom, 1845) (transferred by Bohart 1988: 349).

### *Chrysis ciscirtana* Linsenmaier, 1959

*Chrysis ciscirtana*: Linsenmaier 1959: 97.

**Type locality.** Palestine.

**Paratype 1** ♂. [Jerusalem 5.V.43 Palestina Houska lgt.] [*Paratype* *Chrysis* L. *ciscirtana* Lins. ♂ Linsenmaier det. 59] <handwritten in red> [NHRS-HEVA000001067].

**Remarks.** The holotype is deposited in the Linsenmaier collection at the NMLS.

**Current status.** *Chrysura ciscirtana* (Linsenmaier, 1959) (transferred by Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 487).


### *Chrysis corusca* Valkeila, 1971

Plate 2

*Chrysis corusca*: Valkeila 1971: 84.

**Type locality.** Sweden: “Nr. Åsbro Lerbäck”.

**Holotype** ♀. [Sweden Närke Lerbäck, Åsbro 1968 G. Hallin] [390 81] <red label> [*Chrysis* ♀ *corusca* n.sp. det. E. Valkeila – 69 *Holotypus*] [NRM Sthlm Loan 2571/08] [Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet Stockholm Loan no 1483/96] [*Chrysis* ♀ *schencki* Lins. det. O. Niehuis 1997] [NHRS-HEVA000001070].




**Plate 2.** *Chrysis corusca* Valkeila, 1971, holotype. **A** Head and mesosoma, lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** metasoma, lateral view **D** third metasomal tergite, dorso-lateral view.

**Remarks.** For a very long time *Chrysis corusca* remained an enigmatic species. Linsenmaier (1987, 1997a) did not even cite it in his revisional works on the European species. Also the most important European revisions or checklists published in the 1990s (Kunz 1994; Mingo 1994; Strumia 1995) did not include *C. corusca*. Kimsey and Bohart (1991: 400) were the first authors to include *C. corusca* in a catalogue with the status of valid species. Diagnostic characteristics were cited in the original description, Niehuis (2000: 184) found other better and usable characteristics, and later listed *C. corusca* as a valid species widely distributed in Germany (Niehuis 2001: 120). A detailed morphological analysis of this species was finally provided by van der Smissen (2010: 69) in her monographical work on the *Chrysis ignita* group. Soon and Saarma (2011) included *C. corusca* in their molecular analysis. The distribution of this species is still poorly known and related to central and north European countries (Paukkunen et al. 2014). However we do believe that *C. corusca* could have a wide distributional range and that data are missing because of misidentifications with other species within the *C. ignita* species group (Rosa et al. 2013).

In the original description Valkeila listed 3 females (holotype and 2 paratypes) from Närke Lerbäck, Åsbro (leg. G. Hallin). At the moment only the holotype is present in the general collection. The two paratypes are in Gunnar Hallin's private collection, which is scheduled for donation to the NHRS (H. Vårdal, pers. comm.).

**Current status.** *Chrysis corusca* Valkeila, 1971.





**Plate 3.** *Chrysis dalmanni* Dahlbom, 1845, holotype. **A** Habitus, dorso-lateral view **B** second and third metasomal tergites, dorsal view **C** head, frontal view.

### *Chrysis dalmanni* Dahlbom, 1845

Plate 3

*Chrysis Dalmanni*: Dahlbom 1845: 12.

**Type locality.** unknown.

**Holotype** ♀. [Mus. Payk.] [Type] [NHRS-HEVA000001071].

**Remarks.** *Chrysis dalmanni* is an Afrotropical species, known from South Africa (Mocsáry 1902b: 543; Edney 1952: 432; Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 402); Lesotho is also mentioned, but without precise locality (Madl and Rosa 2012: 29). The species is dedicated to Johan Wilhelm Dalman (1787–1828), a Swedish physician and a naturalist interested in entomology and botany. Similarly to the case of *C. bohemanni*, the correct spelling should be *dalmani* and not *dalmanni*. However, also in this case (Dahlbom 1845, 1854) it is clear Dahlbom's intention to double the final "n", making the original surname with a German appearance.

**Current status.** *Chrysis dalmanni* Dahlbom, 1845.

### *Chrysis delicatula* Dahlbom, 1850

Plate 4

*Chrysis delicatula*: Dahlbom 1850: 138.

**Type locality.** South Africa, Natal province.

**Holotype** ♀. [Caffraria] [J. Wahlb] [Type] [*Chrysis delicatula* Dahlb.] [Typus] <red label> [268 82] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001072].

**Remarks.** The type is damaged, the head is missing.

**Current status.** *Chrysis delicatula* Dahlbom, 1850.



**Plate 4.** *Chrysis delicatula* Dahlbom, 1850, holotype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** metasoma, dorsal view.

### *Chrysis diversa* Dahlbom, 1845

#### Plate 5


*Chrysis diversa*: Dahlbom 1845: 13.

#### Type locality. Egypt.

**Lectotype** (here designated) ♀: [Egypt] [Hedb.] [47 86] <red label> [Riksmuseum Stockholm] <green label> <red label> [Paralectotypus *Chrysis diversa* ♀ Dahlbom 1845 des. by Bohart P. Rosa vidit 2010] <red label> [*Chrysis palliditarsis* Spinola P. Rosa det. 2010] [NHRS-HEVA000001073] (Plate 5).

**Paralectotype 1** ♀. [Egypt] [Hedb.] [48 86] <red label> [Riksmuseum Stockholm] <green label> <red label> [Paralectotypus *Chrysis diversa* ♀ Dahlbom 1845 des. by Bohart P. Rosa vidit 2010] <red label> [*Chrysis palliditarsis* Spinola P. Rosa det. 2010] [NHRS-HEVA000001074].

**Remarks.** Dahlbom (1845: 13) described *Chrysis diversa* without any information on the type-series. Later Dahlbom (1854: 226) listed that he examined only two specimens: “*Habitat in Aegypto, a D. Hedenborg detecta. Specimina duo e Museo R. Acad. Scient. Stockholm. communicavit D. Boheman.*” In the collection three female specimens are found. They bear red labels with the numbers 47, 48, 49 and they were all collected by Hedenborg in Egypt. Two specimens are equal and belong to the species *C. palliditarsis* Spinola, 1838; whereas the third specimen, although with similar colouration and habitus, is different and belongs to the species *C. viridissima* Klug, 1845. The latter specimen is not part of the original type-series and cannot be considered as syntype. The other two specimens, found in the collection with catalogue numbers 47 (NHRS-HEVA000001073) and 48 (NHRS-HEVA000001074) can be considered as syntypes. Bohart (in Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 446) designated the lectotype of *Chrysis diversa* and placed it in synonymy with *Chrysis palliditarsis*. Unfortunately, Bohart selected the specimen not syntypic and not belonging to *C. palliditarsis* (n° 49), but the specimen belonging to *C. viridissima*. It bears the labels: [Egypt] [Hedb.] [49 86] <red label> [Riksmuseum Stockholm] <green label> [*Chrysis diversa* ♀ Dahlbom



**Plate 5.** *Chrysis diversa* Dahlbom, 1845, lectotype (photo HV). **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** second and third metasomal tergites, dorsal view.

*Lectotype R.M. Bohart* [red label] [NHRS-HEVA000001131]. This specimen must be excluded from the type-series because the anal margin is quite different from the anal margin of *C. diversa* as found in the original description: “*Abdominis segmenti 3:tii series ante-apicalis e punctis modicis non confluentibus constituta; dentes apicales breves obtusi. Corpus 2 ½ lin. long*”. All three specimens share the same shape of the pit row of the third tergite, but only two specimens have apical teeth short and more or less obtuse and their body length is “2 ½ lin.”. The female of *C. viridissima* has different anal teeth: the median ones are rounded and the lateral ones are spiniform; moreover it is longer than the other two specimens. More differences are found between the two species (e.g. the length of the malar space (Plate 5B)) but without relation to the original description. According to the ICZN (Art. 74.2) if it is demonstrated that a specimen designated as a lectotype was not a syntype, it loses its lectotype status.

We here designate one of the two female syntypes as the lectotype of *C. diversa* Dahlbom, 1845 to fix the synonym *C. diversa* Dahlbom = *C. palliditarsis* Spinola. If we would consider Bohart’s lectotype designation as valid, then the synonym *C. diversa* Dahlbom = *C. viridissima* Dahlbom would generate confusion, since *C. diversa* has the priority over *C. viridissima*, which is currently in prevailing use.

**Current status.** *Chrysis palliditarsis* Spinola, 1838 (synonymised by Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 446).

***Chrysis elvira* Balthasar, 1957**

Plate 6


*Chrysis elvira*: Balthasar 1957: 151.**Type locality.** Afghanistan: “*Umgebung von Sarekanda (4100m) in Badakschan-gebirge (28.VII.1953)*”.**Holotype** ♀. [J. Klapperich Sarekanda, 4100m 28.7.53, Gebirge Badakschan NO – Afghanistan] [*Chrysis elvira* n.sp. Balth. ♀ Holotypus] <red label handwritten by Balthasar] [NHRS-HEVA000001080].**Remarks.** One paratype found in the Linsenmaier Collection at the NMLS.**Current status.** *Chrysis elvira* Balthasar, 1957.***Chrysis equestris* Dahlbom, 1854**

Plate 7


*Chrysis equestris*: Dahlbom 1854: 307.**Type locality.** unknown.**Holotype** ♀. [Mus. Payk.] [Type] [Typus] <red label> [374 58] <red label> [Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet Stockholm Loan no 993/98] <green label> [NHRS-HEVA000000008].**Remarks.** Dahlbom (1845: 11) described *Chrysis zetterstedti* based on a type series including male and female from Sweden and another specimen from Norway. Later Dahlbom (1854: 307) described the female as a separate species ‘*Specimen unicum e Collectione Paykulli Mus. R. Acad. Scient. Stockholm, communicavit D. Boheman*’. This specimen is both syntype of *C. zetterstedti* Dahlbom, 1845 and holotype of *C. equestris* Dahlbom, 1854. Both types of *C. zetterstedti* and *C. equestris* have been examined by Linsenmaier (1959: 163); the other two males (not syntypes) of *C. zetterstedti* listed by Dahlbom (1854: 305) are housed in MZLU (Paukkunen et al. 2014).**Current status.** *Chrysis equestris* Dahlbom, 1854.***Chrysis erythromelas* Dahlbom, 1845**

Plate 8

*Chrysis erythromelas*: Dahlbom 1845: 11.**Type locality.** unknown [not Italy, Sicily].**Holotype** ♀. [Mus. Payk.] [Type] [NHRS-HEVA000001081].**Remarks.** Dahlbom (1845: 11 [not 1854: 155]) described *Chrysis erythromelas* on a single specimen without any type locality, as written later more clearly by Dahlbom




**Plate 6.** *Chrysis elvira* Balthasar, 1957, holotype. **A** Habitus, dorsal view **B** metasoma, dorsal view **C** head, frontal view.



**Plate 7.** *Chrysis equestris* Dahlbom, 1854, holotype. **A** Habitus, dorsal view **B** head, frontal view **C** head and mesosoma, lateral view **D** third metasomal tergite, dorso-lateral view **E** metasoma, dorsal view **F** metasomal sternites, ventral view.

himself (1854: 155): “*Specimen e Collectione Pajkulliana Musei Reg. Acad. Scient. Stockholm. communicavit D. Boheman, patria non indicata*”. In the NHRS collection there are two specimens with the same label [Mus. Payk.] and belonging to the same species; but only one is labelled as [Type] and we consider it as the holotype. It is damaged after an old dermestid attack; it lacks the right flagellum, both right fore- and hindwing, left hindwing and right hindleg.





**Plate 8.** *Chrysis erythromelas* Dahlbom, 1845, holotype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** mesosoma, dorsal view **D** second and third metasomal tergites, dorso-lateral view.

For a long time, *C. erythromelas* has been considered as a variety of *C. viridula* Linnaeus, 1761 by the most important authors (Mocsáry 1889: 444; Dalla Torre 1892: 108; Trautmann 1927: 165; Berland and Bernard 1938: 107). Kimsey and Bohart (1991: 424) synonymised it with *C. integra* Fabricius, 1787. Without following the Principle of Priority, Linsenmaier (1951: 101) considered *C. erythromelas* as a variety of *C. cylindrica* Eversmann, 1857. Later Linsenmaier (1959: 132) placed *C. erythromelas* in relation with *C. integra* ssp. *sicula* Abeille de Perrin, 1877, but he was not sure about the correct relationship: “*Der Name erythromelas Dahlbom 1845 bezieht sich auf diese Spezies, doch kann ich nicht beurteilen, ob er als Synonym zu integra Nominatform aufzufassen ist, oder ob er an Stelle von ssp. sicula zu treten hätte (er wurde nach einem ♀ ohne Patria aufgestellt, auch ohne sichere Geschlechts-Bestimmung)*”. Finally Linsenmaier (1997a: 277) synonymised *C. sicula* with *C. ornata* Smith, 1851; but this synonym is in error, since *C. ornata* is described from England and it is related to *C. viridula* Linnaeus s. str.. *C. integra* and related forms are distributed only in the Mediterranean area. The name *C. erythromelas* was even used to identify other species belonging to the *C. viridula* group. For example Invrea (1920: 417; 1921: 344) identified the females of *C. pulcherrima* Lepeletier, 1806 as *C. bidentata* var. *erythromelas*. The examination of the holotype confirms that *C. erythromelas* is the first available name for the species named *C. sicula* Abeille de Perrin, 1877 or *C. integra* ssp. *ornata* Smith, 1851 *sensu* Linsenmaier (1997a) and widely distributed in northern Africa (see the material housed in the Linsenmaier collection) and in Sicily. The species is easily iden-

tifiable from *C. integra* Fabricius by the deep and long frontal sulcus elongated between the fore ocellus and the facial scapal basin, halving the transversal frontal carina (TFC); punctuation on metasoma with shining intervals between the punctures, with smaller dots between the larger punctures; last tergite with pit row deeply elongated (Plate 8).

**Current status.** *Chrysis erythromelas* Dahlbom, 1845, **status revived**.

### ***Chrysis imperialis* Dahlbom, 1845**

*Chrysis imperialis*: Dahlbom 1845: 11.

**Type locality.** Algeria.

**Holotype** ♂. [Paykull] [Algier] [NHRS-HEVA000001089].

**Remarks.** *Chrysis imperialis* Dahlbom, 1845 *nec* Westwood, 1842 is unavailable and the oldest available name from among its synonyms is *C. tricolor* Lucas, 1849. However the validity of this species is not clear and currently it is considered a north African subspecies of *C. semicincta* Lepeletier, 1806.

**Current status.** *Chrysis semicincta* ssp. *tricolor* Lucas, 1849 (Linsenmaier 1959: 124).

### ***Chrysis jugum* Dahlbom, 1850**

Plate 9

*Chrysis Jugum*: Dahlbom 1850: 136.

**Type locality.** South Africa: “Natal”.

**Holotype** ♀. [Caffraria] [J. Wahlb.] [*jugum*] [269 82] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001090].

**Current status.** *Chrysis jugum* Dahlbom, 1850.

### ***Chrysis klapperichi* Balthasar, 1957**


*Chrysis klapperichi*: Balthasar 1957: 148.

**Type locality.** Afghanistan: “Umgebung von Schau (2000m) im Kokscha-Tal in Badakshan-Gebirge (19.VII.1953)”.

**Holotype** ♀. [J. Klapperich Schau, 2000 m 19.7.53, Kokschatal, Badakschan NO – Afghanistan] [*Chrysis klapperichi* ♀ *n.sp.* Balth. Holotypus] [NHRS-HEVA000001092].

**Remarks.** In Kimsey and Bohart (1991: 436) the type repository is reported as the NMPC.

**Current status.** *Chrysis martinella* ssp. *solox* Semenov, 1954 (synonymised by Linsenmaier 1968: 74).



**Plate 9.** *Chrysis jugum* Dahlbom, 1850, holotype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** head and mesosoma, dorsal view **D** metasoma, dorso-lateral view.

***Chrysis grohmanni* ssp. *krkiana* Linsenmaier, 1959**

*Chrysis grohmanni* ssp. *krkiana*: Linsenmaier 1959: 109.


**Type locality.** Croatia: Krk island.

**Paratype 1** ♂. [*Insel Krk leg. Mader Coll. Linsenmaier*] [*Chrysis* ♂ *grohmanni krkiana* Lins. Linsenmaier det. 59] [NHRS-HEVA000001093].

**Paratype 1** ♀. [*Insel Krk leg. Mader Coll. Linsenmaier*] [*Chrysis* ♀ *grohmanni krkiana* Lins. Linsenmaier det. 59] [NHRS-HEVA000001094].

**Remarks.** The two specimens do not bear the typical handwritten note ‘paratype’ by Linsenmaier; but after the study of his collection in NMLS we can state that they are paratypes. Often Linsenmaier labelled only the holotype and the allotype, especially when describing subspecies with long series. These two specimens were donated by Linsenmaier and have the same handwritten locality and year of identification as the other specimens belonging to the type series in the Linsenmaier collection. This subspecies is clearly separated from the nominal form (Rosa 2003: 307).

**Current status.** *Chrysis grohmanni* ssp. *krkiana* Linsenmaier, 1959.



**Plate 10.** *Chrysis lateralis* Dahlbom, 1845, holotype. **A** Habitus, dorsal view **B** head, frontal view **C** mesosoma, dorsal view **D** second and third metasomal tergites, dorso-lateral view.

### *Chrysis lateralis* Dahlbom, 1845

Plate 10

*Chrysis lateralis*: Dahlbom 1845: 10.

**Type locality.** Greece: Rhodes.

**Syntype 1** ♀. [Rhodus] [Hedenb.] [NHRS-HEVA000001095].

**Remarks.** This species belongs to the *Chrysis elegans* group and is conspecific with *C. separata* Trautmann, 1926. None of the most important authors (Dahlbom 1854; Mocsáry 1889; du Buysson (in André) 1891–1896; Trautmann 1927; Berland and Bernard 1938; Linsenmaier 1951, 1959, 1968) mentioned this species. Only Dalla Torre (1892: 74) and later Kimsey and Bohart (1991: 431) listed it as a valid species in the *comparata-scutellaris* group, without type examination. The female syntype has all the typical characteristics of *C. separata*, species widespread from Zante (typical locality) to Middle East. A second syntype is housed in the Dahlbom collection in MZLU. We here propose *Chrysis separata* Trautmann, 1926, as a **new synonym** of *Chrysis lateralis* Dahlbom, 1845.

A similar case was found studying Dahlbom's type of *Chrysis confluens* (Dahlbom, 1845). *C. confluens* was described from Rhodes and belongs to the *C. elegans* group. *C. confluens* was synonymised by Dahlbom himself (1854: 159, var. h) with *Chrysis ele-*

*gans* Lepeletier, 1806 and remained in synonymy with *C. elegans* in all the most important works. However, nobody noticed that the description was perfectly matching the description of *C. elegans* var. *smaragdula* Trautmann, 1926 nec Fabricius, 1775, also described from Rhodes. Linsenmaier (1959: 137) replaced the name *C. elegans smaragdula* Trautmann with *C. interrogata* Linsenmaier, 1959 without taking care of the possible synonymy with *C. confluens* (Dahlbom). There is no doubt about *C. elegans* var. *smaragdula* Trautmann, 1926 (currently *C. elegans* ssp. *interrogata* Linsenmaier) as a **new synonym** of *Chrysis confluens* (Dahlbom, 1845), because *C. confluens* is one of the most common species on the island and its peculiar colour is unique in this species group: “*Corpus aeneo- aut subaurato-viride*” and “*Caput et thorax cyaneo- et viridi-variegata. Abdom. segmenti 3:tii series punctorum ante apicalis numerosorum orbiculorum subconfluentium. Corpus 2 ½ lin. long.*”. This peculiar green or golden-green colouration is well emphasized by the name *smaragdula*, which in Latin means emerald green.

Both names *C. separata* and *C. interrogata* have been used mainly by Linsenmaier and a few other authors (i.e. Rosa 2005b; Strumia and Yildirim 2009), and according to the ICZN there is no reason for applying the Reversal of Precedence. The type of *C. confluens* is housed in the Dahlbom collection in MZLU.

**Current status.** *Chrysis lateralis* Dahlbom, 1845.

### ***Chrysis lucifera* Bohart, 1982**

*Chrysis lucifera*: Bohart (in Bohart & Kimsey) 1982: 123.

**Type locality.** U.S.A. (holotype from Tanbark Flat, Los Angeles Co., California; paratypes: 11 ♂♂ and 41 ♀♀ from California, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming).

**Paratype 1** ♂. [Mt. Diablo, Cal. V-12-1937] [R.M. Bohart Colr] [Paratype *Chrysis* ♂ *lucifera* R.M. Bohart] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001097].

**Paratype 1** ♀. [Hopland Grade Lake Co. Cal. V-19-1961] [S.M. Fidel Collector] [Paratype *Chrysis* ♀ *lucifera* R.M. Bohart] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001098].

**Remarks.** The holotype is deposited at the BME.

**Current status.** *Chrysis lucifera* Bohart, 1982.

### ***Chrysis manicata* Dahlbom, 1854**


Plate 11

*Chrysis manicata*: Dahlbom 1854: 276.

**Type locality.** Greece: Rhodes.

**Syntype 1** ♂. [Rhodus] [Hedenb.] [det. W. Trautmann] [*Tetrachrysis pallidicornis* var. *chloris* Mocsáry] <handritten by Trautmann> [NHRS-HEVA000001099].





**Plate 11.** *Chrysis manicata* Dahlbom, 1854, syntype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** second and third metasomal tergites, dorsal view **C** head, lateral view.

**Syntype 1** ♂. [Rhodus] [Hedenb.] [det. W. Trautmann] [NHRS-HE-VA000001100].

**Remarks.** In MNHU there are two other syntypes, male and female, labelled: [Rhodus, Mai, Loew S.] [*manicata* Dahlb. ♂] [Bischoff det.] [Syntypus *Chrysis manicata* ♂ Dahlbom P. Rosa vidit 2010] <in red>.

**Current status.** *Chrysis manicata* Dahlbom, 1854.

### *Chrysis modica* Dahlbom, 1850

Plate 12


*Chrysis modica*: Dahlbom 1850: 140.

**Type locality.** South Africa: “Natal”.

**Lectotype** ♀. [Caffraria] [J. Wahlb.] [Typus] <red label> [*Chrysis modica* Dahlb.] [270 82] <red label> [*Chrysis modica* Dahlbom Lectotype ♀ R.M. Bohart] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001111].

**Remarks.** Dahlbom (1845: 14) described *Chrysis mediocris* on a single specimen from Guinea, received by Westermann and currently housed in his collection at the MZLU. Later Dahlbom (1850: 140) described the same species under a new name, *C. modica*, adding one specimen from Port Natal collected by J. Wahlberg and deposited at the NHRS, and another specimen from Promontorium Bonae Spei [= Cape of Good Hope] found in Spinola’s collection (MRSN). In his last work Dahlbom (1854: 326) gave a detailed description in Latin of *C. modica*, and described a new European species with the name *C. mediocris* Dahlbom, 1854. The latter is a junior homonym of *C. mediocris* Dahlbom, 1845 (currently *C. subsinuata* Marquet, 1879). The first available name for *C. modica* Dahlbom, 1850 is therefore *C. mediocris* Dahlbom, 1845. Bohart (in Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 437) designated the lectotype.

**Current status.** *Chrysis mediocris* Dahlbom, 1845 (synonymised by Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 437).



**Plate 12.** *Chrysis modica* Dahlbom, 1850, lectotype. **A** Habitus, dorsal view **B** head, frontal view **C** mesosoma, dorsal view **D** second and third metasomal tergites, dorsal view.

### *Chrysis nisseri* Dahlbom, 1845

Plate 13

*Chrysis Nisseri*: Dahlbom 1845: 14.

**Type locality.** Columbia: “Remedios”.

**Holotype** ♀. [Remedios] [Nisser] [Type] [NHRS-HEVA000001113].

**Remarks.** Dahlbom (1845) described *Chrysis nisseri* based on a female collected by Nisser at Remedios. Kimsey and Bohart (1991: 443) examined a male holotype in MZLU. This specimen was not located at the MZLU.

**Current status.** *Chrysis nisseri* Dahlbom, 1845.


### *Chrysis obsoleta* Dahlbom, 1845

Plate 14


*Chrysis obsoleta*: Dahlbom 1845: 8.

**Type locality.** unknown.

**Holotype** ♂. [Mus. Payk.] [*Chrysis ignita* var. *obsoleta* Dahlb. Dispos. 1845] [NHRS-HEVA000000856].



**Plate 13.** *Chrysis nisseri* Dahlbom, 1845, holotype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** mesosoma, dorsal view **D** metasoma, dorsal view.



**Plate 14.** *Chrysis obsoleta* Dahlbom, 1845, holotype. **A** head and mesosoma, dorsal view **B** second and third metasomal tergites, dorsal view **C** head, frontal view.

**Remarks.** The specimen is badly conserved. The metasoma was broken and glued using large quantity of glue, which now includes also part of the legs. All the European authors and Kimsey and Bohart (1991: 420) considered this small and slender specimen as synonym of *Chrysis ignita* (Linnaeus). The specimen clearly belongs to another species, probably *C. angustula* Schenck, 1856. Villu Soon (pers. comm.) confirmed that it possibly belongs to *C. angustula* but perhaps even *C. solida* Haupt, 1956. Since the name *C. obsoleta* Dahlbom has the priority on almost all the other names in the *ignita* group, we suggest considering it as a **nomen**

**oblitum**, to maintain the prevailing usage of the names within this complicated species-group (Art. 23.9 of the Code).

**Current status.** *Chrysis ignita* (Linnaeus, 1758) (synonymised by Mocsáry 1889: 488).

### ***Chrysis prominula* Dahlbom, 1845**

Plate 15

*Chrysis prominula*: Dahlbom 1845: 14.

**Type locality.** unknown.

**Holotype** ♀. [Mus. Payk.] [*prominula*] [NHRS-HEVA000001114].

**Remarks.** The type is partially damaged after an old dermestid attack. It lacks the right antenna, the left mid- and hindlegs, partially the right forewing and part of the metanotum.

**Current status.** *Chrysis prominula* Dahlbom, 1845.

### ***Chrysis purpureifrons* ssp. *helleniensis* Linsenmaier, 1968**

*Chrysis (Chrysogona) purpureifrons* ssp. *helleniensis*: Linsenmaier 1968: 48.

**Type locality.** Greece (holotype from Athen; allotype from Corinth; paratype localities not listed).

**Paratypes** 2 ♂♂ and 1 ♀. [Graecia, Pelop. 18 km Südlich Tripolis 15.V.62 leg. Linsenmaier] [*Paratypen Chrysis* L. *purpureifrons helleniensis* Lins. Linsenmaier det. 63] <handwritten in red> [NHRS-HEVA000001087].

**Remarks.** The holotype is housed in the Linsenmaier Collection at NMLS.

**Current status.** *Chrysura purpureifrons* ssp. *helleniensis* (Linsenmaier, 1968) (transferred by Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 494).

### ***Chrysis pyrrhina* Dahlbom, 1845**

Plate 16


*Chrysis pyrrhina*: Dahlbom 1845: 9.

**Type locality.** unknown.


**Holotype** ♂. [Mus. Payk.] [Type] [*pyrrhina Dahlbom 143*] [NHRS-HEVA000001115].

**Remarks.** The species was described with the name “*Chrysis pyrrhinia* Dalm. ♂ Mus. Paykull” and emendated in the same work (Dahlbom 1845: *corrigenda* at pag. 21). The type locality reported by Kimsey and Bohart (1991: 454 “Yugoslavia, Dal-





**Plate 15.** *Chrysis prominula* Dahlbom, 1845, holotype. **A** Habitus, dorsal view **B** head, frontal view **C** mesopleuron, lateral view **D** third metasomal tergite, dorsal view.



**Plate 16.** *Chrysis pyrrhina* Dahlbom, 1845, holotype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** head and mesosoma, dorsal view **D** metasoma, dorsal view.



matia”) is in error. Possibly they confused Dalm. [= Dalman] with Dalmatia. The type locality is unknown, as confirmed in Dahlbom (1854: 259): “*Chrysis pyrrhina Dalman Mus. Paykulli; teste D. Boheman, qui specimen unicum, patria non notata, e Museo R. Acad. Scient. Stockholm. amice communicavit*”.

Very likely Paykull received the male (described as *pyrrhina*) and the female (described as *erythromelas*) together, from the same locality, probably in north Africa. They both belong to the same species, *C. erythromelas* Dahlbom, 1845, even if the male shows some peculiar characteristics which are not found in other northern African or Sicilian specimens: short pronotum, lateral angles on T-III more acute. The metasoma is entirely reddish, but this unusual colour was found also in other specimens in the Linsenmaier collection.

After Linsenmaier (1959) the name *C. pyrrhina* was used to identify a common Mediterranean species (Mingo 1994; Mingo and Gayubo 1985, 1986a, 1986b; Mingo et al. 1988, 1990; Rosa 2004, 2005a, 2005b; Strumia 1995, 1996, 2005, 2007a, 2007b; Strumia and Pagliano 2010; Strumia et al. 2010). The type of *C. pyrrhina* does not match Linsenmaier’s interpretation of the species and a new name must be given to this species.

The first available name from among its synonyms is *Chrysis serena* Radoszkowski, 1891. The type of *C. serena* was checked and it is currently housed in the Radoszkowski collection in ISEA-PAS (Rosa et al. 2015). Linsenmaier (1968: 82) considered *C. serena* as a subspecies of *C. pyrrhina*, with coarser and denser punctation on the metasoma, with micro-punctated intervals between the punctures and mesosoma greener in colour. The distribution given by Linsenmaier for *C. serena* is Persia, S Russia, Palestine, Syria, Asia Minor and Manchuria. It is well known that in the Euro-Asiatic chrysidids, patterns in punctation have a gradient, becoming coarser from west to east. Similarly many common *Chrysis* are greener in the eastern area of their distribution in Europe. *C. serena* simply represents the eastern form with coarser punctation.

**Current status.** *Chrysis erythromelas* Dahlbom, 1845.

### ***Chrysis rufiventris* Dahlbom, 1854**

Plate 17

*Chrysis rufiventris*: Dahlbom 1854: 119.

**Type locality.** unknown.


**Holotype** ♂. [Mus. Payk.] [Type] [NHRS-HEVA000001116].

**Current status.** *Chrysura rufiventris* (Dahlbom, 1854) (transferred by Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 495).

### ***Chrysis schoenherri* Dahlbom, 1845**

Plate 18

*Chrysis Schönherri*: Dahlbom 1845: 10.



**Plate 17.** *Chrysis rufiventris* Dahlbom, 1854, holotype. **A** Habitus, dorsal view **B** head, frontal view **C** head and mesosoma, dorsal view **D** metasoma, lateral view.

**Type locality.** South Africa.

**Holotype** ♀. [Caffraria] [J. Wahlb.] [Typus] <red label> [*Chrysis schoenherri* Dahlb.] [271 82] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001118].

**Remarks.** The type lacks the left forewing. The emendated name *C. schönherri* was introduced by Dahlbom (1850: 139). Carl Johan Schönher was a Swedish entomologist born in Stockholm from a German family.

**Current status.** *Chrysis schoenherri* Dahlbom, 1845.

### *Chrysis scintillans* Valkeila, 1971


Plate 19

*Chrysis scintillans*: Valkeila 1971: 85.

**Type locality.** Finland: “Vanaja”.

**Paratype 1** ♀. [Jmt. Undersåker [unreadable] 16.7.48 [Valliste 1000m C.B. Gaunitz] [*Chrysis* L. *mediata* ssp. *fenniensis* Lins. Linsenmaier det. 59] [*Chrysis scintillans* n.sp. det. E. Valkeila – 69] [Typus] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001117].

**Remarks.** The paratype lacks both right wings. The correct name for the locality of this paratype is found in the original description. The holotype is deposited at the



**Plate 18.** *Chrysis schoenherri* Dahlbom, 1845, holotype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** mesosoma, lateral view **D** third metasomal tergite, lateral view.

MZH. *Chrysis scintillans* was described based on seventy-six specimens from Finland, Russia and Sweden. Paukkunen et al. (2014: 41) synonymised it with *C. solida* Haupt, 1956, and found that the paratypes belong to different species: *C. schencki* Linsenmaier, 1968 (36 exx.), *C. solida* Haupt, 1956 (33 exx.), *C. ignita* group (2 exx.), *C. impressa* Schenck, 1856 (2 exx.), *C. angustula* Schenck, 1856 (1 ex.) and *C. subcoriacea* Linsenmaier, 1959 (1 ex.). The paratype preserved in NHRS belongs to *C. schencki* (V. Soon and J. Paukkunen, in litt.). Valkeila considered the punctuation of the terga as a more important character in species identification rather than other characteristics, such as the width of the ovipositor.

**Current status.** *Chrysis solida* Haupt, 1956 (synonymised by Paukkunen et al. 2014: 41).


### *Chrysis sinuata* Dahlbom, 1845

Plate 20


*Chrysis sinuata*: Dahlbom 1845: 12.

**Type locality.** South Africa: “*Capitis Bonae Spei*”.

**Syntype 1** ♀. [Cap. B. Spei] [Mus. Payk.] [NHRS-HEVA000001119].



**Plate 19.** *Chrysis scintillans* Valkeila, 1971, paratype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** head and mesosoma, dorsal view **D** metasoma, dorsal view.



**Plate 20.** *Chrysis sinuata* Dahlbom, 1845, syntype. Syntype. **A** Habitus, dorso-lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** head and mesosoma, dorsal view **D** metasoma, dorsal view.

**Remarks.** The name *Chrysis sinuata* Dahlbom, 1845, *nec* Brullé, 1833 is not available and was replaced by Mocsáry (1889) with the name *C. poecila*. See the other notes under *C. sinuosa* Dahlbom.

**Current status.** *Chrysis poecila* Mocsáry, 1889, a replacement name for *C. sinuata* Dahlbom, 1845 *nec* Brullé, 1833.

### ***Chrysis sinuosa* Dahlbom, 1854**

Plate 21

*Chrysis sinuosa*: Dahlbom 1854: 153.

**Type locality.** South Africa: “*Capitis Bonae Spei*”.


**Holotype** ♂. [Cap. B. Spei] [Mus. Payk.] [Type] [*sinuosa* Dahlbom 84] [NHRS-HEVA000001120].

**Remarks.** The type of *C. sinuosa* lacks the right flagellum and the right foreleg. Dahlbom (1845: 11–12) described *Chrysis sinuata* based on two syntypes, a male and a female, with the same colour “*Divis IV. Thorax variegatus. Abdomen cyaneo-. viridi- et aureo-fasciatum*”. He described the female with four teeth on the anal margin (“*Subdivis. 2. Abdominis segmentum 3:tium apice 4-dentatum*”) (Plate 20D) and the male without teeth on the anal margin, but with a simple undulation (“*Subdiv. 3. Abdominis segmentum 3:tium apice undulatum*”) (Plate 21D). These two specimens clearly belong to two different species-groups. Later, Dahlbom (1854: 153) recognised the male as belonging to a different species and described it with the name *C. sinuosa*. He left the female under the name *C. sinuata* Dahlbom, 1845, without noticing that this name was already used by Brullé (1833).

Mocsáry (1889: 296), without type examination, considered *C. sinuosa* Dahlbom, 1845 and *C. sinuata* Dahlbom, 1854 (“*ex parte, solum* ♂” [the male only]) as synonyms of *C. bellula* Guérin-Méneville, 1842. Mocsáry (1889: 440) also replaced the name *C. sinuata* Dahlbom, 1845, *nec* Brullé, 1833 (“*ex parte, solum* ♀”) with *C. poecila* Mocsáry, 1889. *C. bellula* is now considered endemic to Madagascar, absent from South Africa (Azevedo et al. 2010: 858). Since Mocsáry (1889: 428) did not examine Dahlbom’s types, he described again *C. sinuata* Dahlbom, 1845 as a new species from South Africa with the name *C. eximia* Mocsáry, 1889. We examined the type of *C. eximia*, which is deposited at the NHMW.

In this case, the replacement name *C. poecila* Mocsáry has priority over *C. eximia* Mocsáry and therefore we propose the new synonym *C. eximia* Mocsáry, 1889 = *C. poecila* Mocsáry, 1889. Edney (1952: 423) followed Mocsáry in the interpretation of *C. (Holochrysis) bellula* Brullé, but without reporting any differences between the sexes. He also described *C. ceres* Edney, 1952, which resulted synonym of *C. sinuosa* Dahlbom. Kimsey and Bohart (1991: 463), without the examination of Dahlbom’s types, synonymised *C. sinuata* Dahlbom, *C. poecila* Mocsáry and *C. ceres* Edney with *C. sinuosa* and used *eximia* Mocsáry, 1889 as the valid name. Madl and Rosa (2012) followed the interpretation given by Kimsey and Bohart (1991).





**Plate 21.** *Chrysis sinuosa* Dahlbom, 1854, holotype. Syntype. **A** Habitus, dorso-lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** mesosoma, dorsal view **D** second and third metasomal tergites, dorsal view.

According to the types, the two valid species and their synonymies are:

(1) *Chrysis poecila* Mocsáry, 1889 replacement name for *C. sinuata* Dahlbom, 1845 nec Brullé, 1833 (synonyms: *C. eximia* Mocsáry, 1889; *C. westwoodi* Mocsáry, 1912) (*C. splendidula-senegalensis* group);

(2) *Chrysis sinuosa* Dahlbom, 1845 (synonym: *Chrysis ceres* Edney, 1954) (*C. capitalis* group).

**Current status.** *Chrysis sinuosa* Dahlbom, 1854.

### *Chrysis soror* Dahlbom, 1854


Plate 22

*Chrysis soror*: Dahlbom 1854: 240.

**Type locality.** Greece: “*Habitat in insula Rhodo, a D. Hedenborg detecta; Mus. D. Loew*”.

**Lectotype** (here designated) ♂: [Rhodus] [Hedenb.] [det. W. Trautmann] [*Tetrachrysis abbreviaticornis* Buys. ??] <handritten by Trautmann> [NHRS-HE-VA000001121].

**Remarks.** Dahlbom (1854) described *Chrysis soror* based on more male specimens collected at Rhodes by Hedenborg and Loew. Kimsey and Bohart (1991: 464) listed



**Plate 22.** *Chrysis soror* Dahlbom, 1855, lectotype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** second and third metasomal tergites, dorsal view **C** head, frontal view.

the holotype in MNHU, but we could not find it with the help of the curator Frank Koch; Loew's Chrysididae are not conserved in MNHU, as well as in BMNH or NHRS. Since it is possible that one or more syntypes could be found in another collection, we select the male specimen housed at the NHRS as lectotype, which matches perfectly the current interpretation of the species.

The lectotype is partially damaged; it lacks the left flagellum, tarsi of the right midleg and the left hindleg except for the coxa. The metasoma is glued to the mesosoma.

**Current status.** *Chrysis soror* Dahlbom, 1854.

### *Chrysis tenuimediata* Linsenmaier, 1968

*Chrysis* (*Papuachrysis*) *tenuimediata*: Linsenmaier 1968: 53.

**Type locality.** Burma: "N.O. Burma, Kambaiti, 2000m".

**Holotype** ♀. [N. E. Burma Kambaiti; 2000 m 23/4.1934 Malaise] [Riksmuseum Stockholm] <green label> [♀ Type Chrysis L. *Papuachrysis tenuimediata* Lins. Linsenmaier det.64] <handwritten in red> [Chrysis L. subgen. *Adscitis* det. Linsenmaier 1994] [NHRS-HEVA000001126].

**Remarks.** Linsenmaier (1997a: 284) disagreed with the placement of *C. tenuimediata* proposed by Kimsey and Bohart (1991) and described the subgenus *Chrysis* (*Adscitis*) based on *C. tenuimediata*.

**Current status.** *Primeuchroeus tenuimediatus* (Linsenmaier, 1968) (transferred by Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 543).

### *Chrysis violacuna* Bohart, 1982

*Chrysis violacuna*: Bohart (in Bohart & Kimsey) 1982: 134.

**Type locality.** U.S.A. (holotype, 59 ♂♂ and 56 ♀♀ paratypes from Utah).

**Paratype 1♂.** [UTAH Rich Co. S.W. Shore Bear Lake Reared, FD Parker] [16605F Rearing No.] [Paratype *Chrysis violacuna* ♂ R.M. Bohart] <red label> <pinned with cocoon> [NHRS-HEVA000000858].

**Paratype 1♀.** [UTAH Rich Co. S.W. Shore Bear Lake Reared, FD Parker] [16674C Rearing No.] [Paratype *Chrysis violacuna* ♀ R.M. Bohart] <red label> <pinned with cocoon> [NHRS-HEVA000000859].

**Remarks.** The holotype is deposited at the BME.

**Current status.** *Chrysis violacuna* Bohart, 1982.

### *Chrysis wahlbergi* Dahlbom, 1845


Plate 23

*Chrysis Wahlbergi*: Dahlbom 1845: 14.

**Type locality.** South Africa: “Natal”.

**Lectotype** ♂. [Caffraria] [J. Wahlb.] [272 82] <red label> [*wahlbergi*] [*Lectotype Chrysis wahlbergi* ♂ Dahlbom R.M. Bohart] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001127].

**Paralectotype** ♀. [Caffraria] [J. Wahlb.] [273 82] <red label> [*wahlbergi*] [NHRS-HEVA000001128].



**Plate 23.** *Chrysis wahlbergi* Dahlbom, 1845, lectotype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** mesosoma, lateral view **D** second and third metasomal tergites, dorsal view.

**Remarks.** Lectotype designation by Bohart (in Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 478).

**Current status.** *Chrysis wahlbergi* Dahlbom, 1845.

### ***Chrysura candens* Dahlbom, 1845**

*Chrysura candens*: Dahlbom 1845: 7.

**Type locality.** Greece: Rhodes.

**Holotype** ♀. [Mus. Payk.] [NHRS-HEVA000001066].

**Remarks.** *Chrysura candens* Dahlbom is a secondary junior homonym of *C. candens* Germar, 1817. Dalla Torre (1892: 49, 58) placed *C. candens* in synonym of *C. candens* Klug (!) Germar, 1817 (partim) and *C. elegans* Lepeletier (partim). The type is surely related to large species (3 ½ *lin. long.*), not comparable with *C. candens* Germar. The type is partially damaged; it lacks the left fore wing, femura, tibiae and tarsi of left mid- and hindlegs, and tarsi of the left foreleg.

**Current status.** *Chrysis elegans* Lepeletier, 1806 (synonymized by Mocsáry 1889: 301).

### ***Chrysura foveata* Dahlbom, 1845**

Plate 24

*Chrysura foveata*: Dahlbom 1845: 6.

**Type locality.** Egypt.

**Syntype** ♀. [Egypt] [Hedb.] [NHRS-HEVA000001083].

**Syntype** ♂. [Egypt] [Hedb.] [NHRS-HEVA000001084].

**Remarks.** *Ch. foveata* was described based on few specimens (*rar.*) considered as females, but in the collection one female and one male are found. Dahlbom (1854: 172) gave a subsequent description of the species, which is not very precise, especially with respect to the colour. Kimsey and Bohart (1991: 497) placed *Chrysura foveata* in synonym with *Chrysura trimaculata* (Förster, 1853), without type examination. This synonym is in error; *Ch. foveata* was described from Egypt, whereas *Ch. trimaculata* is a Euro-Sibirc species, not distributed in northern Africa and belonging to a different genus. *Ch. foveata* belongs to the *Chrysis hydropica* group.


**Current status.** *Chrysis foveata* (Dahlbom, 1845) (transferred by Mocsáry 1889: 292).

### ***Chrysura humboldti* Dahlbom, 1845**

Plate 25

*Chrysura Humboldti*: Dahlbom 1845: 6.

**Type locality.** Greece: Rhodes.



**Plate 24.** *Chrysura foveata* Dahlbom, 1845, syntype male. **A** Habitus, dorso-lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** head and mesosoma, dorsal view **D** metasoma, dorsal view **E** third metasomal tergite, dorsal view **F** metasomal sternites, ventral view.

**Holotype** ♂. [Rhodus] [Hedb.] [Type] [NHRS-HEVA000001088].

**Current status.** *Pseudospinolia humboldti* Dahlbom, 1845 (transferred by Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 547).

### *Chrysura sulcata* Dahlbom, 1845

Plate 26


*Chrysura sulcata*: Dahlbom 1845: 7.

**Type locality.** Greece: Rhodes.


**Lectotype** (here designated) ♀: [Rhodus] [Hedb.] [Type] [NHRS-HEVA000001125].

**Notes.** Dahlbom (1845) described *Ch. sulcata* based few specimens from Rhodes "*Ch. sulcata nob. Rhodus rar. Hedenborg.*". Since Dahlbom wrote "*rar.*" and not "*rariss.*" he examined at least two specimens. The original diagnosis (Dahlbom 1845) is quite different from the description given in 1854 and the current interpretation of the species. Dahlbom (1845) described *sulcata* as a species with red sternites "*Divis. 2. Abdominis dorsum totum aureum. Venter igneus*", whereas the species today identified as *Ch. sulcata* has blue or blue-green sternites, which is a useful characteristic to separate





**Plate 25.** *Chrysura humboldti* Dahlbom, 1845, holotype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** mesosoma, dorsal view **D** second and third metasomal tergites, dorsal view.



**Plate 26.** *Chrysura sulcata* Dahlbom, 1845, lectotype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** habitus, dorsal view **D** second and third metasomal tergites, dorso-lateral view.

it from *Ch. rufiventris* Dahlbom, 1854 in south Europe. Moreover, he described *Ch. sulcata* with green mesosoma (“*Thorax viridis*”), whereas all the specimens studied have blue mesosoma, with green reflections on the lateral sides of the mesonotum.

In the general collection, under the name *Ch. sulcata*, two specimens were found. These are a specimen of *Ch. sulcata* and a second specimen (without head), which belongs to *Chrysis aestiva* Dahlbom, 1845, also described from Rhodes. It bears the same labels: [Rhodes] [Hedb.]. This specimen is obviously different, since it has two small teeth along the anal margin; but we noticed that the position of the ovipositor somehow hides the two small teeth. Perhaps it is possible that Dahlbom did not see these two small teeth and considered this specimen as a syntype. The latter has red sternites and green mesosoma.

Later Dahlbom (1854: 116), after the examination of a Sicilian specimen housed at the NHMW, gave a better and detailed description of the species, which was accepted by all the following authors and is currently recognised. The specimen examined at the NHMW is lost and was considered as a syntype by Kimsey and Bohart (1991). Since the original description is ambiguous and the species could be described from several specimens, in accordance with the ICZN (Art. 73) we hereby designate the lectotype of *Ch. sulcata* on the male specimen bearing the label [Type] and characterised by the broken last tergite (Plate 26D). The designated lectotype matches the current interpretation of the species given by Dahlbom (1854) and Linsenmaier (1959).

**Current status.** *Chrysura sulcata* Dahlbom, 1845.

### ***Cleptes fasciata* Dalman, 1823**

Plate 27

*Cleptes fasciata*: Dalman 1823: 90.

**Type locality.** Brazil.

**Lectotype** ♀. [*Brasilia Freyreiss*] [Schh.] <Schönherr> [Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet Stockholm Loan no 333/96] <green label> [*Cleptidea* ♀ *fasciata* Dalm. det. L. Móczár, 1996] [Lectotypus *Cleptes fasciata* Dalman des. Móczár 996] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001082].


**Remarks.** Lectotype designated by Móczár (1996: 136); a paralectotype is deposited at the HNHM.

**Current status.** *Cleptidea fasciata* (Dalman, 1823) (transferred by Mocsáry 1904: 569).

### ***Cleptes sjostedti* Hammer, 1950**

*Cleptes Sjostedti*: Hammer 1950: 2.

**Type locality.** China: “Provinz Kiansu, leg. Kolthoff, Oktober”.



**Plate 27.** *Cleptes fasciata* Dalman, 1823, holotype. Habitus, dorsal view.

**Holotype** ♀. [Provins Kiangsu] [China Kolthoff] [Type] <red label> [*Cleptes sjöstedti mihi* ♀ det. Hammer] <handwritten by Hammer> [NHRS-HEVA000001124].

**Remarks.** Hammer described *Cleptes sjöstedti* based on two females, a holotype and a paratype. Móczár (1998a: 341) searched for the holotype in NHRS, but the senior curator, Fredrik Ronquist, could not find it. Consequently Móczár (1998a), according to the ICZN (Art. 75), designated the neotype based on the paratype housed in Hammer's collection in NHMW. The discovery of the original holotype automatically sets aside Móczár's neotype designation (Art. 75.8, status of rediscovered former name-bearing types). Pictures of the holotype are provided by Rosa et al. (2014).

The correct spelling of the name should be *sjöstedti* and not *sjoestedti* as reported by Móczár (1998a: 325) and Kimsey and Bohart (1991: 435) in the following case of *Chrysis sjöstedti* Cameron. These two species were dedicated to Yngve Sjöstedt, professor and curator of the NHRS; according to the ICZN (Art. 32.5.2.1); only in case of a German name the correct writing would be *sjoestedti*.

**Current status.** *Cleptes sjöstedti* Hammer, 1950.

### *Cymura splendida* Dahlbom, 1845

*Cymura splendida*: Dahlbom 1845: 4.

**Type locality.** Turkey: "Bosfor".

**Holotype** ♂. [Bosfor Hed. 32] [NHRS-HEVA000001122].

**Current status.** *Hedychrum coelestinum* Spinola, 1838 (synonymised by Dahlbom 1854: 60).

***Hedychrum massaicum* Cameron, 1910**


Plate 28

*Hedychrum massaicum*: Cameron 1910: 299.

**Type locality.** Tanzania: “Kilimandjaro. 2<sup>nd</sup> November”.

**Holotype** ♂. [Kilimandj. Sjöstedt] [2 Nov] [Typus] [*Hedychrum massaicum*] <handwritten by Cameron> [173 85] <red label> [Riksmuseum Stockholm] <green label> [*Holotype Hedychrum massaicum* ♂ Cameron det L D French] <red label> [NHRS-HEVA000001101].

**Remarks.** The type is badly damaged by dermestids. It lacks the antennae, the right part of the head, including mouthparts and occiput and the right foreleg. Together with this type there are two other specimens ([NHRS-HEVA000001134] and [NHRS-HEVA000001135]) collected in the same locality by Sjöstedt and on Mount Meru, but to be excluded from the type-series because they were collected on different days. Cameron (1910) described *H. massaicum* based only on the specimen



**Plate 28.** *Hedychrum massaicum* Cameron, 1910, holotype. **A** Habitus, dorsal view **B** head, frontal view **C** metasoma, dorsal view **D** second and third metasomal tergites, dorso-lateral view.

collected on the 2<sup>nd</sup> of November. The other two specimens have been collected the 6<sup>th</sup> of September and in January. French identified all of them as *H. massaicum* but one of the specimens [175 85] collected in January belongs to a different species. Bohart (Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 216) examined the “holotype” deposited at the MZLU, but this specimen was not found, and all the material collected by Sjöstedt is deposited at the NHRS.

**Current status.** *Hedychrum massaicum* Cameron, 1910.

### ***Hexachrysis sjostedti* Cameron, 1910**

*Hexachrysis Sjostedti*: Cameron 1910: 297.

**Type locality.** Tanzania: “Kilimandjaro: Kiboto, cultivated zone, 1,300-1,900 m. 7<sup>th</sup> May”.

**Holotype** ♀. [Kilimandj. Sjöstedt] [Kibonoto 1300 – 1900 m] [Typus] [*Chrysis sjostedti*] <handwritten by Cameron> [177 85] <red label> [Riksmuseum Stockholm] <green label> [*Chrysis malachitica* ♀ Dahlbom R.M. Bohart det.] [NHRS-HEVA000001123].

**Remarks.** The type is seriously damaged. It lacks great parts of the head; a small part is still connected to the mesosoma and includes TFC, ocelli, right part of the face, including mandibles and part of the antenna; all the legs, sternites and internal tergites and sternites are lost. We compared this specimen with the type of *Chrysis malachitica* Dahlbom, 1854 (deposited at the ZMUC). Small differences exist in colour, punctuation and shape of the pronotum, probably due to the distances between the two populations.

**Current status.** *Chrysis malachitica* Dahlbom, 1854 (synonymised by Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 435).

### ***Holopyga amoenula* Dahlbom, 1845**

Plate 29

*Holopyga amoenula*: Dahlbom 1845: 4.

**Type locality.** Greece: Rhodes.


**Lectotype** (here designated) ♂: [Rhodus] [Hedb.] [NHRS-HEVA000001059].

**Paralectotype 2** ♂♂. [Rhodus] [Hedb.] [NHRS-HEVA000001060] and [NHRS-HEVA000001061].

**Paralectotype 1** ♂. [Rhodus] [Hedb.] [Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet Stockholm Loan no 188/96] [NHRS-HEVA000001062].

**Paralectotype 1** ♂. [Rhodus] [Hedb.] [det. dr. W. Trautmann] [Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet Stockholm Loan no 188/96] <green label> [NHRS-HEVA000000857].





**Plate 29.** *Holopyga amoenula* Dahlbom, 1845, lectotype (photo HV). **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** head, frontal view **C** metasoma, lateral view.

**Remarks.** *Holopyga amoenula* is the type species of *Holopyga* Dahlbom, 1845. In the general collection at the NHRS we found more similar specimens under the name “*Holopyga amoenula* Dahlbom” with the same labels: “Rhodus” and “Hedenborg”. They belong to different species. Dahlbom (1845: 4) wrote: “*Holopyga amoenula* nob. ♂ Rhodus rar. *Hedenborg*”. It is not possible to know how many specimens he examined, but we guess few specimens (*rar.*), as written in the introduction. Later Dahlbom (1854: 53) wrote: “*Duo specimina ex Insula Rhodo vidi, unum a D. Hedenborg alterum a D. Loew lecta.*” The second specimen is not a type, because the material collected by Loew was not included in the original description.

The history of the name *Ho. amoenula* is rather confused, since it was used by many authors to identify almost all the European species of *Holopyga*. The synthesis of this confused situation can be found in Kimsey and Bohart (1991: 225), where many species belonging to different species groups are placed in synonym with *Ho. amoenula* (Rosa 2006: 136). More generally, the most common European species, currently known as *Ho. generosa* (Förster, 1853) (= *ovata* Dahlbom, 1854) is found in synonym with *Ho. amoenula* after Mocsáry’s monograph (1889: 127). The same taxonomical overview was proposed by Mingo (1994: 73, 204) whereas in the other most important monographs (i.e. Trautmann 1927: 50, and Berland and Bernard 1938: 42), *Ho. amoenula* was considered as variety of *Ho. gloriosa*. The name *gloriosa* Fabricius has been suppressed by the ICZN Commission (ICZN 1998, Opinion 1906) and the spe-

cies previously identified with this name *sensu* Linsenmaier are related with a different species-group, which includes *Ho. lucida* (Lepeletier), *Ho. inflammata* (Förster), *Ho. caucasica* Mocsáry, etc.

Only after Linsenmaier's revision (1959) of the European species, *Ho. amoenula* was correctly identified and recognized as a distinct, valid species endemic to Rhodes. The discussion on the name *amoenula* originates in Dahlbom's monograph (1854: 53). Dahlbom considered *Ho. amoenula* as variety (var. d) of the new described species *Ho. ovata*, contrary to the Principle of Priority that was not yet applied at that time. Two subspecies of *Ho. amoenula* are present in southern Europe: *Ho. amoenula* ssp. *oriensa* Linsenmaier and ssp. *occidentia* Linsenmaier. The possibility that they could be valid species should be taken in consideration.

Since there are different specimens in the collection, and species collected by Hedenborg on Rhodes under the name *Ho. amoenula*, we hereby designate as the lectotype the specimen which match the current interpretation of the species. It is pinned, in perfect condition and we dissected the genitalia, glued with the specimen (Plate 29).

**Current status.** *Holopyga amoenula* Dahlbom, 1845.

### ***Holopyga dohrni* Dahlbom, 1854**

Plate 30

*Holopyga dohrni*: Dahlbom 1854: 48.

**Type locality.** Cuba and U.S.A.: “*Habitat in Cuba Cel. Dohrn, in New York Cel. Kriechbaumer, qui mihi specimina amice donarunt.*”.


**Paralectotype 1** ♂. [*Cuba*] [*Dohrn*] [NHRS-HEVA000001075].

**Paralectotype 1** ♂. [*Cuba*] [*Dohrn*] [NHRS-HEVA000001076].

**Remarks.** Dahlbom (1854) described *Holopyga dohrni* based on a type-series including specimens from Cuba, received from Dohrn, and New York, received from Kriechbaumer. Mocsáry (1889: 122) without type examination placed *Ho. dohrni* Dahlbom in synonymy with of *Ho. ventralis* (Say). This synonym was accepted by several authors (Dalla Torre 1892: 30, Bodenstein 1951: 720; Krombein 1979: 1225). Bohart and Kimsey (1982: 28) listed type “unknown” and placed *Ho. dohrni* in synonymy with *Ho. ventralis*, with restricted distribution to New York; later Bohart (in Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 236) examined the syntype collected in New York and considered it as a holotype. With this assumption (locality restricted to N.Y.) and term (holotype), Bohart (in Kimsey and Bohart 1991) explicitly indicated that he was selecting from the type series that particular specimen to serve as the name-bearing type (Art. 74.5). Therefore the syntype deposited at the MZLU must be considered as the lectotype.

The two Cuban paralectotypes collected by Dohrn are deposited at the NHRS and belong to a different species, probably to *Ho. cyaniventris* (Cresson, 1865).

**Current status.** *Holopyga ventralis* (Say, 1824).



**Plate 30.** *Holopyga dohrni* Dahlbom, 1854, lectotype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** head, in frontal view **C** mesosoma, dorsal view **D** second and third metasomal tergites, dorsal view.

***Omalus coriaceus* Dahlbom, 1850**

Plate 31

*Omalus coriaceus*: Dahlbom 1850: 135.

**Type locality.** South Africa.

**Holotype** ♀. [Caffraria] [J. Wahlb.] [Type] [NHRS-HEVA000000860].

**Current status.** *Holophris coriaceus* (Dahlbom, 1850) (transferred by Mantero 1910: 548).


***Pentachrysis kibonotoensis* Cameron, 1910**

*Pentachrysis kibonotoensis*: Cameron 1910: 298.

**Type locality.** Tanzania.

**Holotype** ♂ [not ♀]: [Kilimandj. Sjöstedt] [Kibonoto 1800-1900 m] [*Pentachrysis kibonotoensis* ns ♂] [Riksmuseum Stockholm] <green label> [176 85] <red label> [Praestochrysis spina ♂ (*Brullé*) R M Bohart det] [NHRS-HEVA000001091].

**Remarks.** The type is seriously damaged by an old dermestid attack. It lacks the antennae (except scapus), the compound eyes, part of the scapal basin, tibia and tarsi



**Plate 31.** *Omalus coriaceus* Dahlbom, 1850, holotype. Habitus, lateral view.

of the left foreleg, both hindlegs and the sternites and internal urites. Also the first metasomal tergite is partially damaged.

**Current status.** *Praestochrysis spina* (Brullé, 1846) (synonymised and transferred by Kimsey and Bohart 1991: 535).

### *Platycelia ehrenbergi* Dahlbom, 1845

*Platycelia Ehrenbergi*: Dahlbom 1845: 8.

**Type locality.** Egypt.

**Holotypus** (?) 1 ♀. [Egypt] [Hedb.] [NHRS-HEVA000001077- NHRS-HEVA000001079].

**Remarks.** Dahlbom (1845: 8) described "*Platycelia Ehrenbergi nob. Ægypt. rariss. Hedenborg.*". The use of "*rariss.*" suggests that Dahlbom examined only one specimen. Confirmation is given by Dahlbom himself (1854: 220) "*Habitat in Aegypto, a D. Hedenborg detecta. Unicum specimen vidi, e Museo Reg. Acad. Scient. Stockholm. a D. Boheman communicatum.*". In the collection three specimens belonging to the same species were located bearing the same labels. Boheman sent only one specimen of this series to Dahlbom, who described the species. Later the specimen was reintroduced in the original series and the label handwritten by Dahlbom was destroyed. Currently the holotype is "lost" within the series, and a neotype could be designated by the first

revisor. We do not select a neotype, because all the three specimens correspond to the current interpretation of the species and therefore the neotype designation seems to be unnecessary.

A revision of the *C. ehrenbergi* species-group is needed, because many subspecific names were proposed and their relation is not clear. Trautmann (1926: 7) described *Cephalochrysis ehrenbergi* var. *vogti*; Linsenmaier (1968: 106, 107) described three different subspecies: *Chrysis (Platycelia) ehrenbergi* ssp. *vinaria*, *C. ehrenbergi* ssp. *hylae*, *C. ehrenbergi* ssp. *chrysodorsa* (= *C. ehrenbergi vogti* Trautmann). Linsenmaier (1968: 106) wrote that *C. ehrenbergi* exists with different ecological and geographical forms: “*ehrenbergi* Dhlb. existiert in, mindestens im ♀ Geschlecht, durch die Färbung deutlich getrennten, ökologischen und geographischen Formen. Die Nominatform scheint auf Ägypten beschränkt zu sein. ♀ grün, K und Th oben bronzefarben oder mit weniger intensiven kupfernen Reflexen, Abd oben rosa-kupfern.”. However, some ecological or geographical forms could be valid species, as in the case of *Chrysis ignita* (Linnaeus).

Linsenmaier always considered *Platycelia* Dahlbom as a valid and well-characterized subgenus; Linsenmaier (1997a: 285) observed that Kimsey and Bohart (1991) elevated some subgenera to generic level (e.g. *Spintharina* Semenow), whereas other subgenera equally or even more characteristic (e.g. *Platycelia* Dahlbom, *Pyria* Lepeletier, etc.) were downgraded to species-group even if clearly separated from the heterogeneous genus *Chrysis* Linnaeus. The generic status and placement of *Platycelia* should be checked in the future, with the help of molecular analysis.

**Current status.** *Chrysis ehrenbergi* (Dahlbom, 1845) (transferred by Dalla Torre 1892: 58).

### ***Stilbum hedenborgi* Dahlbom, 1845**

*Stilbum Hedenborgi*: Dahlbom 1845: 16.

**Type locality.** Sudan: “*Bahr el Abiad*”.

**Syntypes** 2 ♀♀. [Bahr el Abiad] [Hedenborg] [NHRS-HEVA000001085] and [NHRS-HEVA000001086].

**Current status.** *Chrysis stilboides* Spinola, 1838 (synonymised and transferred by Mocsáry 1889: 590).

### ***Stilbum wesmaeli* Dahlbom, 1845**


Plate 32

*Stilbum Wesmaëli*: Dahlbom 1845: 16.

**Type locality.** Greece: Rhodes.

**Holotype** ♂. [Rhodus] [Hedb.] [NHRS-HEVA000001129].





**Plate 32.** *Stilbum wesmaeli* Dahlbom, 1845, holotype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** mesonotum and metanotum, dorsal view **C** head, frontal view.


**Remarks.** Dahlbom (1845) described *S. wesmaeli* without any note on the type series. More information can be found in his monographical work (Dahlbom 1854: 359): “*Habitat in insula Rhodo; specimen unicum e Mus. Reg. Acad. Scient. Stockholm. communicavit Dom. Boheman.*”. Currently there are three specimens in the collection collected on Rhodes by Hedenborg. Only one has a different printed label [Hedb.] [NHRS-HEVA000001129] instead of [Hedenb.] [NHRS-HEVA000001137-1138]. Hedenborg visited Rhodes more than once, and these three specimens should have been collected in two different journeys. We consider as holotype the one with a different label (NHRS-HEVA000001129).

After Dahlbom (1854), all the most important authors considered *S. wesmaeli* as synonym of *S. cyanurum* (Forster, 1771) (Mocsáry 1889: 190; Dalla Torre 1892: 38; Bishoff 1913: 26; Trautmann 1927: 80; Linsenmaier 1951: 107). However, it was not even mentioned by Mader (1933) and Zimmermann (1937) in their revisions of the genus *Stilbum*. In his major revisions, Linsenmaier (1959: 181 and 1968: 123) used the name *S. calens* ssp. *subcalens* Mader, 1933 (invalid name because described as *aberratio*) in place of *S. wesmaeli* for the corresponding subspecies distributed in the Mediterranean basin (Dalmatia, Balcan Contries, Rhodes, Persia, southern Switzerland (Misox), southern France, Spanien, northern Africa (Linsenmaier 1959) and Lebanon (Linsenmaier 1968)).

In the last publications, Linsenmaier (1997a: 287, 1997b: 134, 1999: 254) used *S. calens* ssp. *wesmaeli* Dahlbom as the oldest name for this species, synonymizing *S. subcalens* and *S. macedonicum* Trautmann, 1926 with *S. calens* ssp. *wesmaeli*. Linsenmaier (1959) treated the invalid name *subcalens* as subspecies of *S. calens*, and thus made this name available as species-group name (ICZN 1999, article 45.6.3.). As Linsenmaier was the first author to make the name available, he should be considered as the author of *S. subcalens* (ICZN 1999, article 50.3.1.).

The type and the other specimens of *S. wesmaeli* in NHRS are not related to *S. calens* (Fabricius), but belong to a different population of *S. cyanurum* (Forster) probably endemic to the island. Dahlbom (1845, 1854) descriptions are clear and this species is easily identifiable by the typical shape of the metanotal protrusion, which is deeply bilobed (“*postscutelli processus emarginatus*”). All the specimens from Rhodes show this special feature, and for this reason we consider this isolated population as a possible valid subspecies.

**Current status.** *Stilbum cyanurum* ssp. *wesmaeli* (Dahlbom, 1845).



**Plate 33.** *Stilbum westermanni* Dahlbom, 1845, holotype. **A** Habitus, lateral view **B** mesonotum and metanotum, dorsal view **C** head, frontal view.

### *Stilbum westermanni* Dahlbom, 1845

Plate 33

*Stilbum Westermanni*: Dahlbom 1845: 16.

**Type locality.** Greece: Rhodes.

**Holotype** ♂. [Rhodus] [Hedb.] [NHRS-HEVA000001130].

**Remarks.** *Stilbum westermanni* is related to *S. calens* Fabricius. Linsenmaier (1997a: 287, 1997b: 134, 1999: 254) confused the two species described by Dahlbom from Rhodes (*wesmaeli* and *westermanni*), and proposed the wrong combination *S. calens* ssp. *wesmaeli* instead of *S. calens* ssp. *westermanni*. According to Linsenmaier (1997b), this subspecies is more distributed along the coast of the Mediterranean basin.

**Current status.** *Stilbum calens* ssp. *westermanni* Dahlbom, 1845.

### Missing types

During the revisional work in the general collection, the following types were not found, which should be deposited at the NHRS according to the literature.

### *Chrysis gloriosa* Dahlbom, 1845

*Chrysis gloriosa*: Dahlbom 1845: 10, *nec* Fabricius, 1793

**Type locality.** unknown.

**Remarks.** Dahlbom (1845) based the description of *C. gloriosa* on a specimen related to *C. grohmanni* Dahlbom, 1854, as written by the same author (Dahlbom 1854: 271). Since the locality is unknown and many subspecies of *C. grohmanni* have been described in the Mediterranean countries, it is impossible to comment this name.


***Chrysis inaequalis* Dahlbom, 1845**

Plate 34

*Chrysis inaequalis*: Dahlbom 1845: 8.**Type locality.** Turkey: “Bosfor”.**Neotype** (here designated) ♂: [*Helvetia*] [*Roveredo* 28.8.46] [♂ *Chrysis* L. *inaequalis* D. det. Lins.] [NML\_ENT GBIF\_Chr 00038702] deposited at NMLS.**Remarks.** *Chrysis inaequalis* is one of the most common species in Europe. It was described from Turkey (Bosfor), but the type is lost. In the general collection we could only find two females of *C. inaequalis* collected at Rhodes by Hedenborg. According to Linsenmaier the “typical” *C. inaequalis* is present only in central-, southern Europe and in northern Africa; in the rest of the distributional range, from Greece to central Asia, the subspecies *C. inaequalis sapphirina* Semenov-Tian-Shanskij, 1912 is present. *C. sapphirina* is the eastern form with green-coloured males and both sexes coarsely punctuated. Linsenmaier (1959) cited *C. inaequalis* s. str. in North China and Manchuria, but later, in his collection, he identified all the eastern specimens as *C. inaequalis* ssp. *sapphirina*. Linsenmaier (1959) did not notice that the typical locality of *C. inaequalis* correspond with the distribution given for *C. inaequalis* ssp. *sapphirina sensu auctorum*.

For this reason a neotype designation of *C. inaequalis* is needed. We could not find any other specimen from Bospor (Istanbul and adjacent areas), but in Linsenmaier’s collection we found many specimens collected in western Turkey, both on the European and the Asiatic side. The closest localities are Edirne (on the European side) and Ayvalik (on the Asiatic side). Even if it is not required for a neotype designation, Ayvalik is a seaside town on the northwestern Aegean coast of Turkey, it is possible that Hedenborg visited this town moving from Rhodes or Egypt to Istanbul. In fact Hedenborg was the medical doctor of the Swedish Embassy at Istanbul, and not only a famous naturalist who published different papers on his journeys in Rhodes and Egypt.

However, since the name *C. inaequalis* is in prevailing use for the identification of the western European specimens for the last 100 years, we prefer to designate a neotype based on one specimen collected in central Europe, rather than on a specimen collected nearby the typical locality. If we designate a neotype on an eastern Mediterranean species, the name *C. sapphirina* would fall in synonymy with *C. inaequalis* and the western subspecies would be named: *C. inaequalis* ssp. *taeniophrys* Förster, 1853, which is the first available name. Moreover, if future examinations made with the help of molecular techniques will demonstrate that western and the eastern subspecies (*sensu* Linsenmaier) are separated and valid species, the valid name for *C. inaequalis* in Europe would become *C. taeniophrys* Förster, a name never used after the description given by Förster. In addition, the



**Plate 34.** *Chrysis inaequalis* Dahlbom, neotype. **A** Habitus, dorsal view **B** head, frontal view **C** head and mesosoma, dorsal view **D** mesosoma, lateral view **E** metasoma, dorsal view **F** second and third metasomal tergites, dorso-lateral view.

type of *C. taeniophrys* Förster is lost, and we could not check that it is truly the first available name for the western form of *C. inaequalis*. By designating a western European specimen, we keep the stability of name use. Therefore, the male specimen collected in Switzerland at Roveredo on the 28<sup>th</sup> of August 1948 by Linsenmaier (NML\_ENT GBIF\_Chr 00038702) is selected, housed in the Linsenmaier collection at the NMLS.

**Current status.** *Chrysis inaequalis* Dahlbom, 1845.

### *Cleptes aurata* Dahlbom, 1845

*Cleptes aurata*: Dahlbom 1845: 2, nec Panzer, 1798.

**Type locality.** “Bosfor, Hedenborg”.

**Remarks.** Móczár (1998b: 511) designated the neotype of *Cleptes aurata* Dahlbom on a female specimen collected by Houska in Palestina and deposited at the HNHM.

**Current status.** *Cleptes dahlbomi* Semenov-Tian-Shanskij, 1909 (replacement name for *Cleptes aurata* Dahlbom, 1845).

### Specimens labelled as types but never described

In the general collection at the NHRS there is a specimen labelled: [J. Klapperich Sarekanda, 4100m 28.7.53, Gebirge Badakschan NO – Afghanistan] [*Chrysis badakschensis* n.sp. ♀ Holotypus] <red label handwritten by Balthasar>. This species was never described by Balthasar and it belongs to the *Chrysis comparata* group,  *analis* subgroup.

### Conclusions

The study of the type material by Dahlbom is fundamental to further knowledge on the European and western Palaearctic fauna. While studying his works, some interesting observations on types were found that were overlooked in recent revisions, probably because they were written in Latin. After reading Dahlbom's main works (1845, 1854), we concluded that there is no correspondence between many descriptions and the current interpretation of the species. For this reason and in preparation of the volume on the Italian Fauna, a revisional work on the European types at the most important museums has been initiated by the first author (Rosa 2009; Paukkunen et al. 2014; Rosa and Xu 2015; Rosa et al. 2015), with multiple discoveries at different museums.

During the study of the type specimens housed in the NHRS, 72 types belonging to 53 taxa were examined. Some nomenclatural and taxonomic changes are proposed. Moreover, in contrast to the catalogue of the Chrysididae of the world (Kimsey and Bohart 1991), we found that two additional holotypes are deposited at the NHRS (*Chrysis equestris* Dahlbom, 1854 and *Omalus coriaceus* Dahlbom, 1850); three syntypes belonging to two species are deposited at the NHRS (*Chrysis manicata* Dahlbom, 1854 and *Chrysis soror* Dahlbom, 1854); and four holotypes and two syntypes are deposited at the NHRS and not at the MZLU or at the NMPC (*Chrysis elvira* Balthasar, 1957, *Chrysis klapperichi* Balthasar, 1957, *Chrysis nisseri* Dahlbom, 1845, *Hedychrum massaicum* Cameron, 1910, *Holopyga dohrni* Dahlbom, 1854).

### Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to the late Bert Viklund and Mattias Forshage (NHRS) for helpful historical information on Dahlbom, Hedenborg and the Gaunitz families; Roy Danielsson (MZLU), Lars Bjørn Vilhelmsen (ZMUC), Marco Bernasconi (NMLS), Dominique Zimmermann (NHMW), and Zoltán Vas (HNHM, Hungary) for access to collections and loan of some types; Alexander Berg (Lund, Sweden) for providing pictures of the syntype of *Holopyga dohrni* Dahlbom, 1854 housed in Dahlbom's collection; Villu Soon (Tartu, Estonia) and Juho Paukkunen (MZH, Finland) for providing notes on Valkeila's types and reviewing the manuscript; Kevin Holston (NHRS) for proofreading the English manuscript. Lastly we are grateful to Riksmuseets vänner (The Friends of the Swedish Museum of Natural History) who supported the visit of the first author in Stockholm.



## References

- Abeille de Perrin E (1877) Diagnoses d'espèces nouvelles et remarques sur des espèces rares. Feuille jeunes naturaliste 7: 65–68.
- Abeille de Perrin E (1878) Diagnoses de Chrysidés nouvelles. Published by the author, Marseille, 1878, 6 pp.
- Arens W (2004) Revision der Gattung *Holopyga* auf der Peloponnes mit Beschreibung zweier neuer Arten (Hymenoptera; Chrysididae). Linzer biologische Beiträge 36(1): 19–55.
- Azevedo CO, Madl M, Olmi M (2010) A Catalogue of the Bethyridae, Chrysididae, Dryinidae, Embolemidae, Sclerogibbidae and Scolebythidae (Hymenoptera: Chrysidoidea) of the Malagasy Subregion. Linzer biologische Beiträge 42(2): 845–918.
- Balthasar V [1957 (1956)] Neue Chrysididen aus Afghanistan (Opuscula Hymenopterologica XVII) (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae). Acta Societatis entomologicae Čechosloveniae 53: 143–153.
- Berland L, Bernard F (1938) Hymenopteres Vespiformes. III. (Cleptidae, Chrysidae [sic], Trigonalidae). In: Faune de France, Lechevalier, Paris, vol. 34, vii + 145 pp.
- Bischoff H (1913) Hymenoptera. Fam. Chrysididae. In: Wytsman (Ed.) Genera Insectorum, Bruxelles, vol. 151, 86 pp.
- Bodenstein WG (1951) Superfamily Chrysidoidea. In: Muesebeck CF, Krombein KV, Townes HK (Eds) Hymenoptera of America North of Mexico. Synoptic catalog. United States Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Monograph, vol. 2.
- Bohart RM (1988) A Key to *Trichrysis* and New Species from Sri Lanka and Africa (Hymenoptera: Chrysididae). Pan-Pacific Entomologist 63(4): 347–351.
- Bohart RM, Kimsey LS (1982) A synopsis of the Chrysididae in America North of Mexico. Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute 33, 266 pp.
- Buysson R du (1891–1896) Species des Hyménoptères d'Europe & d'Algérie. Tome Sixième. Les Chrysidés. Vve Dubosclard, Paris, I–XII + 13–758 + 64 unnumbered pages + 32 pls. (1891) 1–88, (1892) 89–208, (1893) 209–272, (1894) 273–400, (1895) 401–624, (1896) 625–756 + 1\*–22\*, (1891–1896) 64 unnumbered pages + 32 pls. [Dating after Derksen and Scheiding 1963]
- Brullé A (1833) Expédition scientifique de Morée. Section des sciences physiques. Tome III. Zoologie et Botanique. 1re partie. Zoologie. Deuxième section. – Des animaux articulés. FG Levrault, Paris, 289–400. [Dating after Sherborn and Woodward 1901 and Evenhuis 1997]
- Brullé A (1846) Des Hyménoptères. In: Lepeletier de Saint-Fargeau A. Histoire Naturelles des Insectes, Tome Quatrième, Paris, 680 pp.
- Cameron P (1910) Chrysididae. In: Sjöstedt J (Ed.) Wissenschaftliche Ergebnisse der Schwedischen zoologischen Expedition nach dem Kilimandjaro, dem Meru und den umgebenden Massaiesteppen Deutsch-Ostafrikas 1905–1906. Palmquists, Stockholm 2: 297–299.
- Cresson ET (1865) On the Hymenoptera of Cuba. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Philadelphia 4: 1–209.
- Dahlbom AG (1829) Monographia Chrysidum Sveciae. Londini Gothorum, 19 pp.
- Dahlbom AG (1831) Exercitationes Hymenopterologicae. Monographia Chrysididum Sveciae (Familia Hymenopterorum Octava Latreille). Pars III (partim). Londini Gothorum, Pars III: 33–48 [Chrysididae 33–36].

- Dahlbom AG (1838) Om insekternas allmänna skada och nytta i Hushållningen. In: Handbok för Landsbrukare och Naturforskare, Lund, 1837.
- Dahlbom AG (1845) Dispositio Methodica Specierum Hymenopterorum, secundum Familias Insectorum Naturales. Part. II. Chrysis in sensu Linnæano. Berlingianis, Lund, 20 pp. doi: 10.5962/bhl.title.66977
- Dahlbom AG (1850) Syd-Africanska Chrysides. Öfversigt af Kongliga Vetenskaps-Akademiens Förhandlingar 7(6): 135–142.
- Dahlbom AG (1854) Hymenoptera Europaea praecipue Borealia, formis typicis nonnullis. Specierum generumve exoticorum propter nexum systematicum associatis, per Familias, Genera, Species et Varietates disposita atque descripta. Tip. F. Nicolai, Berlin, xxiii + 412 pp. + 12 tavv. doi: 10.5962/bhl.title.15890
- Dal B (1996) Sveriges Zoologiska litteratur. En berättande översikt om svenska zoologer och deras tryckta verk 1483–1920. Orbis Pictus, Kjøge, 370 pp.
- Dalla Torre CG de (1892) Catalogus Hymenopterorum hucusque descriptorum systematicus et synonymicus. Chrysididae (Tubulifera). Engelmann, Lipsiae, vol. 6, viii + 118 pp.
- Dalman JW (1823) Analecta entomologica. Lindhians, Holmiae, 104 pp.
- Edney EB (1952) The Holonychinae (Family Chrysididae) of South Africa. Part II. *Chrysidea* Bischoff, *Gonochrysis* Licht. and *Holochrysis* Licht. Occasional Papers of the National Museums of Southern Rhodesia 2(17): 403–452 + 4 pl.
- Eversmann E (1857) Fauna Hymenopterologica Volgo-Uralensis. Continuatio. Familia Chrysidarum. Bulletin de la Société Imperiale des Naturalistes de Moscou 30: 544–567.
- Fabricius JC (1775) Systema entomologiae, sistens Insectorum classes, ordines, genera, species, adiectis synonymis, locis, descriptionibus, observationibus. Korti, Flensburgi et Lipsiae, 832 pp. doi: 10.5962/bhl.title.36510
- Fabricius JC (1781) Species insectorum, exhibentes eorum differentias specificas, synonyma auctorum, loca natalia, metamorphosin, adiectis observationibus, descriptionibus. Tomo I. C.E. Bohnii, Hamburgi et Kiloni, 522 pp. + VIII.
- Fabricius JC (1787) Mantissa insectorum, sistens eorum species nuper detectas adiectis characteribus genericis, differentiis specificis, emendationibus, observationibus, Tomus 1. Hafniae, Proft, 348 pp.
- Forster JR (1771) Novae species insectorum. Centuria I. Davies & White, London, VIII + 100 pp.
- Förster A (1853) Eine Centurie neuer Hymenopteren. Beschreibungen neuer Arten aus der Familie der Chrysididen. Verhandlungen des naturhistorischen Vereins der preussischen Rheinlande und Westfalens 10: 66–362.
- Hammer A (1950) Über einige von Kjell Kolthoff und anderen in China gesammelten Hymenoptera. Chrysididae, Cleptidae, Mutillidae. Arkiv för Zoologie 42A(8): 1–12.
- Haupt H (1956) Die Unechten und Echten Goldwespen Mitteleuropas (*Cleptes* et Chrysididae). Abhandlungen und Berichte aus dem Staatlichen Museum für Tierkunde, Dresden 23: 15–139.
- International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN) (1998) Opinion 1906: *Euchroeus* Latreille, 1809 (Insecta, Hymenoptera): conserved; *Chrysis purpurata* Fabricius, 1787 (currently *Euchroeus purpuratus*): specific name conserved; and *Chrysis gloriosa* Fabricius, 1793: specific name suppressed. Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature 55(3): 194–196.

- Invrea F (1920) Contribuzioni allo studio dei Crisidi liguri. Prima serie. Res ligusticae XLVI. Annali del Museo civico di Storia naturale "G. Doria" 52: 404–425.
- Invrea F (1921) Contribuzioni allo studio dei Crisidi liguri. Seconda serie. Res ligusticae XLVI-II. Annali del Museo civico di Storia naturale "G. Doria" 53: 332–346.
- Kimsey LS, Bohart RM [1991 (1990)] *The Chrysidid Wasps of the World*. Oxford University Press, New York, 652 pp.
- Klug F (1845) *Symbolae Physicae seu Icones et Descriptiones Insectorum quae ex Itinere per Africam borealem et Asiam occidentalem Friderici Guilelmi Hemprich et Christiani Godofredi Ehrenberg Medicinae et Chirurgiae Doctorum studio novae aut illustratae redierunt*. Decas Quinta. Officina Academica, Berolini, 41 unnumbered pages + pls. 41–50.
- Krombein KV (1979) *Catalog of Hymenoptera in America North of Mexico*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, vol. 2, 1042 pp.
- Kunz PX (1994) *Die Goldwespen (Chrysididae) Baden-Württembergs. Taxonomie, Bestimmung, Verbreitung, Kartierung und Ökologie - Mit einem Bestimmungsschlüssel für die deutschen Arten*. Beihefte zu den Veröffentlichungen für Naturschutz und Landschaftspflege in Baden-Württemberg 77: 1–184.
- Lepeletier ALM [= le Peletier de Saint-Fargeau] (1806) Mémoire sur quelques espèces nouvelles d'insectes de la section des Hyménoptères appelés les Portetuyaux, et sur les caractères de cette famille et des genres qui la composent. *Annales du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle* 7: 115–129.
- Linnaeus C (1758) *Systema Naturae per Regna tria Naturae, secundum Classes, Ordines, Genera, Species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis*. Editio Decima, Refurmata, Tomus I. Laurenti Salvii, Holmiae, 824 pp. + IV.
- Linnaeus C (1761) *Fauna Suecia sistens Animalia Sueciae Regni: Mammalia, Aves, Amphibia, Pisces, Insecta, Vermes*. Distributa per Classes et Ordines, enera et Species, cum Differentiis, Specierum, Synonymis, Auctorum, Nominibus Incolarum, Locis natalium, Descriptionibus Insectorum. Editio Altera, Auctior. Laurentius Salvius, Stockholm, 578 pp. + 2 pl.
- Linsenmaier W (1951) Die europäischen Chrysididen (Hymenoptera). Versuch einer natürlichen Ordnung mit Diagnosen. *Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft* 24(1): 1–110.
- Linsenmaier W (1959) Revision der Familie Chrysididae (Hymenoptera) mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der europäischen Spezies. *Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft* 32(1): 1–232.
- Linsenmaier W (1968) Revision der Familie Chrysididae Zweiter Nachtrag. *Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft* 41(1–4): 1–144.
- Linsenmaier W (1987) Revision der Familie Chrysididae (Hymenoptera). 4. Teil. *Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft* 60(1–2): 133–158.
- Linsenmaier W (1997a) Altes und Neues von den Chrysididen (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae). *Entomofauna* 18(19): 245–300.
- Linsenmaier W (1997b) *Die Goldwespen der Schweiz*. Veröffentlichungen aus dem Natur-Museum Luzer 9, 140 pp.
- Linsenmaier W (1999) *Die Goldwespen Nordafrikas (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae)*. *Entomofauna, Supplement* 10, 210 pp.

- Lucas H (1849) Hyménoptères. In: Exploration scientifique de l'Algérie, pendant les années 1840, 1841, 1842, publiée par ordre du gouvernement et avec le concours d'une commission académique. Série IV: Sciences physiques-Zoologie III. (Sous-série II) Histoire naturelle des animaux articulés, par H. Lucas. Deuxième partie Insectes, Imprimerie nationale, 527 pp.
- Mader L (1933) Etwas über Hymenopteren. Entomologischer Anzeiger 13: 125–126.
- Madl M, Rosa P (2012) A Catalogue of the Chrysididae (Hymenoptera: Chrysidoidea) of the Ethiopian Region excluding Malagasy Subregion. Linzer biologische Beiträge 44(1): 5–169.
- Mantero G (1910) Collezioni zoologiche fatte nell'Uganda dal Dott. E. Bayon. VIII. – Seconda contribuzione alla conoscenza delle Chrysididae dell'Uganda. Annali del Museo di Storia Naturale “G. Doria” 44: 546–549.
- Marquet M (1879) Aperçu des Insectes Hyménoptères qui habitent le Midi de la France. Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle de Toulouse 13: 129–190. [dated 1981 in Bitsch and Leclercq 1993: 284]
- Mingo E (1994) Hymenoptera Chrysididae. Fauna Iberica. Vol. 6. Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Madrid, 256 pp.
- Mingo E, Gayubo SF (1985) Contribución al estudio de los Crisídidos de El Algarve (Portugal). (Hym., Chrysididae). II Congresso Ibérico de Entomologia, Suplemento nº1 ao Boletim da Sociedade Portuguesa de Entomologia, 37–46.
- Mingo E, Gayubo SF (1986a) Contribución al conocimiento de la crisididofauna de las sierras meridionales de la provincia de Badajoz. (Hym., Chrysididae). Acta de las VIII Jornadas A e E, Sevilla, 1012–1021.
- Mingo E, Gayubo SF (1986b) Contribución al conocimiento de los crisididos de la provincia de Ciudad Real. (Hym., Chrysididae). Eos 62: 125–136.
- Mingo E, Gayubo SF, Sanza F (1988) Crisididofauna de la margen derecha de la cuenca alta del Duero. (Hym., Chrysididae). Eos 64: 95–109.
- Mingo E, Gayubo SF, Rueda A (1990) Contribución al conocimiento de la familia Chrysididae de la provincia de Palencia (Hym., Chrysididae). Eos 65: 31–50.
- Mocsáry A (1889) Monographia Chrysididarum Orbis Terrestris Universi. Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, 643 pp.
- Mocsáry A (1902) Chrysididae in Africa Meridionali a Dre. H. Brauns collectae et ab Alexandro Mocsáry recensitae. Természettudományi Füzetek 25: 536–572.
- Mocsáry A (1904) Observatio de *Cleptes aurora* Smith. Annales Musei Nationalis Hungarici 2: 567–569.
- Mocsáry A (1912) Species Chrysididarum novae. III. Annales Musei Nationalis Hungarici 10: 549–592.
- Móczár L (1996) New data on the subfamily Cleptinae (Hymenoptera: Chrysididae). Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae 42(2): 133–144.
- Móczár L [1998a (1997)] Revision of the *Cleptes* (*Holcocleptes*) species of the world (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae). Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae 43(4): 323–343.
- Móczár L (1998b) Revision of the Cleptinae of the World. Genus *Cleptes* subgenera and species groups (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae). Entomofauna 19(31): 501–516.
- Niehuis O (2000) The European species of the *Chrysis ignita* group: Revision of the *Chrysis angustula* aggregate (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae). Mitteilungen aus dem Museum für Naturkunde in Berlin, Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift 47(2): 181–201.

- Niehuis O (2001) Chrysididae. In: Dathe HH, Taeger A, Blank SM (Eds) Entomofauna Germanica. Band 4. Zusammenarbeit mit der Entomofaunistischen Gesellschaft e.V. und dem Deutschen Entomologischen Institut des ZALF e.V. Entomologische Nachrichten und Berichte 7: 1–178.
- Radoszkowski O (1891) Descriptions de Chrysidides nouvelles. *Revue d'Entomologie* 10: 183–198.
- Paukkunen J, Rosa P, Soon V, Johansson N, Ødegaard F (2014) Faunistic review of the cuckoo wasps of Fennoscandia, Denmark and the Baltic countries (Hymenoptera: Chrysididae). *Zootaxa* 3864(1): 1–67. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3864.1.1
- Rosa P (2003) Nuovi reperti di Crisidi per l'Italia, con note tassonomiche. (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae). *Giornale Italiano di Entomologia* 10(51): 301–313.
- Rosa P (2004) Alcune osservazioni sulle relazioni tra Vegetazione e Crisidi in Italia (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae). *Giornale Italiano di Entomologia* 11(52): 79–90.
- Rosa P [2005a (2003)] I Crisidi (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae) della Romagna. Stato attuale delle conoscenze. *Annali del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Ferrara* 6: 29–40.
- Rosa P (2005b) La collezione di Crisidi (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae) del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Milano. *Natura* 94(2): 1–128.
- Rosa P (2006) I Crisidi della Valle d'Aosta. *Monografie del Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali di Saint-Pierre, Aosta*, 362 pp. + 16 tavv. + 32 pl.
- Rosa P (2009) Catalogo dei tipi dei Crisidi (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae) del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale “G. Doria” di Genova. *Annali del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale “G. Doria”* 100(1): 209–272.
- Rosa P, Soon V (2012) Hymenoptera: Chrysididae. Fauna Europaea version 2.5. <http://www.faunaeur.org> [accessed 1 Dec. 2012]
- Rosa P, Xu Z-f (2015) Annotated type catalogue of the Chrysididae (Insecta, Hymenoptera) deposited in the collection of Maximilian Spinola (1780–1857), Turin. *ZooKeys* 471: 1–96. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.471.6558
- Rosa P, Lotfalizadeh H, Pourrafi L (2013) First checklist of the chrysidid wasps (Hymenoptera: Chrysididae) of Iran. *Zootaxa* 3700(1): 1–47. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3700.1.1
- Rosa P, Wei N-s, Xu Z-f (2014) An annotated checklist of the chrysidid wasps (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae) from China. *ZooKeys* 455: 1–128. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.455.6557
- Rosa P, Wiśniowski B, Xu Z-f (2015) Annotated type catalogue of the Chrysididae (Insecta, Hymenoptera) deposited in the collection of Radoszkowski in the Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków. *ZooKeys* 486: 1–100. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.486.8753
- Say T (1824) Natural History. In: Keating WH (Ed.) *Narrative of an Expedition to the Source of the St. Peter's River, Lake Winnepeck, Lake of the Woods*. Carey HC & Lea I, Philadelphia, vol. 2, part 1.
- Schenck ACF (1856) Beschreibung der in Nassau aufgefundenen Goldwespen (Chrysidida) nebst einer Einleitung über die Familie im Allgemeinen und einer kurzen Beschreibung der übrigen deutschen Arten. *Jahrbücher des Vereins für Naturkunde im Herzogthum Nassau, Wiesbaden* 11: 13–89.
- Semenov-Tian-Shanskij A (1912) Chrysididarum species novae vel parum cognitae (Hymenoptera). *V. Horae Societatis Entomologicae Rossicae* 12: 177–201.
- Semenov-Tian-Shanskij A, Nikol'skaya MN (1954) [Cuckoo-wasps (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae) of Tajikistan]. *Trudy Zoologicheskogo Instituta Akademii Nauk SSSR* 15: 89–137. [In Russian]



- Soon V, Saarma U (2011) Mitochondrial phylogeny of the *Chrysis ignita* (Hymenoptera: Chrysididae) species group based on simultaneous Bayesian alignment and phylogeny reconstruction. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 60: 13–20. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2011.04.005
- Smith F (1851) Descriptions of some new species of British Hymenoptera. *The Zoologist*, appendix, 125.
- Spinola M (1838) Compte rendu des hyménoptères recueillis par M. Fischer pendant son voyage en Égypte, et communiqués par M. le docteur Walzl a Maximilien Spinola. *Annales de la Société Entomologique de France* 7: 437–457.
- Svenskt Biografiskt Lexikon. Gustaf Dahlbom: <http://www.nad.riksarkivet.se/sbl/artikel/15795>, Svenskt biografiskt lexikon (art av Alb. Tullgren). [accessed 13 May 2013]
- Strumia F [1995 (1994)] Hymenoptera Chrysididae. In: Minelli A, Ruffo S, La Posta S (Eds) Check-list delle specie della fauna italiana. Calderini, Bologna 99: 1–10.
- Strumia F [1996 (1995)] Indagine sui crisidi e mutillidi autunnali (Hymenoptera Chrysididae). *Frustula Entomologica* 18(31): 177–87.
- Strumia F (2005) Hymenoptera Chrysididae. In: Ruffo S, Stoch F (Eds) Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana. 10.000 specie terrestri e della acque interne. Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona. II° Serie, Sezione Scienze della Vita 16: 269–270 + CD-ROM.
- Strumia F (2007) La distribuzione degli imenotteri crisididi nella riserva di San Rossore (Pisa). *Atti della Società italiana di Scienze naturali, Memorie, Serie B* 115: 117–127.
- Strumia F [2007 (2006)] Gli Imenotteri Crisididi dell'Isola di Creta (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae). *Frustula Entomologica* 28-29: 97–109.
- Strumia F, Pagliano G [2010 (2008)] Imenotteri Crisididi e Mutillidi della Valle del fiume Cornia (LI). *Frustula entomologica* 31: 113–123.
- Strumia F, Yidirim E [2009 (2007)] Contribution to the knowlde of Chrysididae fauna of Turkey (Hymenoptera, Aculeata). *Frustula Entomologica* 30(43): 55–92.
- Strumia F, Gauybo SF, González JA (2010) Diversidad de Crisídidos en el Parque Natural de Las Batuecas – Sierra de Francia (Salamanca, España) (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae). *Nouvelle revue d'Entomologie (N.S.)* 26(2): 177–187.
- Trautmann W (1926) Untersuchungen an einigen Goldwespenformen. *Entomologische Zeitschrift* 40(1): 4–12.
- Trautmann W (1927) Die Goldwespen Europas. Uschman, Weimar, 194 pp.
- Valkeila E (1971) Two new North European species of the genus *Chrysis* Linnaeus (Hym., Chrysididae). *Entomologisk Tidskrift* 92(1–2): 82–93.
- Van der Smissen J (2010) Schlüssel zur Determination der Goldwespen der engeren *ignita*-Gruppe (Hymenoptera, Aculeata: Chrysididae). Mit detaillierten Beschreibungen und 502 Original-Abbildungen. *Verhandlungen des Vereins für Naturwissenschaftliche Heimatforschung zu Hamburg e. V.* 43: 4–184.
- Zimmermann S (1937) Über die Verbreitung und Formenbildung der Gattung *Stilbum* Spin. (Chrysididae, Hymenopt.). *Archiv für Naturgeschichte* 6: 645–42.